arXiv:math/9303202v1 [math.FA] 29 Mar 1993

TOPOLOGIES ON THE SET OF ALL SUBSPACES OF A BANACH SPACE AND RELATED QUESTIONS OF BANACH SPACE GEOMETRY

M.I.OSTROVSKII

1. Introduction.

For a Banach space X we shall denote the set of all closed subspaces of X by $G(X)$. In some kinds of problems it turned out to be useful to endow $G(X)$ with a topology. The main purpose of the present paper is to survey results on two the most common topologies on $G(X)$.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we introduce some definitions and notation. In sections 3 and 4 we introduce two topologies on $G(X)$. Section 5 is devoted to the problem of comparison of these topologies. In section 6 we investigate the following general problem: How close should be the structure of the subspaces which are close with respect to the natural metrics, which generate introduced topologies? (It should be mentioned that both introduced topologies are metrizable.) In section 7 we survey those results on introduced topologies and related quantities which were not discussed in previous sections. Here we also try to describe known applications of introduced topologies and related quantities. This section is nothing more than guide to the literature. If x is a vector of a Banach space X and A, D are subsets of X then we shall denote the value $\inf_{a\in A}||x-a||$ by $\mathrm{dist}(x,A)$ and the value $\inf_{a\in A}\mathrm{dist}(a,D)$ by $\mathrm{dist}(A,D)$. The closed unit ball and the unit sphere of a Banach space X are denoted by $B(X)$ and $S(X)$ respectively. For a subset A of a Banach space X by $A^{\perp}$, $\mathrm{lin}(A)$, $\mathrm{conv}(A)$ and $\mathrm{cl}(A)$ we shall denote, respectively, the set $\{x^*\in X^* : (\forall x\in A)(x^*(x)=0)\}$, the set of all finite linear combinations of vectors of A , the set of all convex combinations of vectors of A and the closure of A in the strong topology. For a subset A of a dual Banach space X^* we shall denote the set $\{x\in X : (\forall x^*\in A)(x^*(x)=0)\}$ by $A^{\top}$.

Let Y and Z be Banach spaces. For $1\le p\le\infty$ we shall denote by $Y\oplus_p Z$ the Banach space of all pairs $(y,z), y\in Y, z\in Z$, with the norm $||(y,z)|| = (||y||^p+||z||^p)^{1/p}$(or $\max\{||y||,||z||\}$, if $p=\infty$). It is clear that all these norms define the same topology. The corresponding topological vector space will be denoted by $Y\oplus Z$.

A closed linear subspace Y of a Banach space X is said to be a *complemented* subspace of X if there is a bounded linear projection from X onto Y or, what is the same, if there exists a closed linear subspace Z of X such that every $x\in X$ can be in a unique manner represented in the form $x=y+z$, where $y\in Y$ and $z\in Z$. By $G_c(X)$ we shall denote the set of all complemented subspaces of X. For $Y\in G_c(X)$ we shall denote by $\lambda(Y,X)$ the value $\inf\{||P|| : P \text{ is a projection of } X \text{ onto } Y\}$. Banach space is said to be *injective* if its isomorphic embeddings into arbitrary Banach space have complemented images.

If $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of Banach spaces we define the direct sum, of these spaces in the sense of $l_p, 1\le p<\infty$, namely $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\oplus X_n)_p$, as the space of all sequences $x=(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n,\dots)$ with $x_n\in X_n$ for all n, for which $||x|| = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}||x_n||^p)^{1/p} < \infty$. Similarly, $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\oplus X_n)_0$ denotes the direct sum of $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in the sense of c_0, i.e. the space of all sequences $x=(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_n,\dots)$ with $x_n\in X_n$ for all n, for which

$\lim_n ||x_n|| = 0$. The norm in this direct sum is taken as $||x|| = \max_n ||x_n||$.

A sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of closed subspaces of a Banach space X is called a *Schauder decomposition* of X if every $x \in X$ has a unique representation of the form $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n$ with $x_n \in X_n$ for every n. In such case we write $X = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus X_n$. Furthermore, if $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of subspaces, $Y_n \subset X_n$, then we shall denote $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{lin}(\cup_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n))$ by $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus Y_n$. It is clear that in this case $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ form a Schauder decomposition of $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus Y_n$.

Two Banach spaces Y and Z are called *isomorphic* if there exists an invertible operator from Y onto Z. The *Banach-Mazur distance* between Y and Z is defined by $d(Y, Z) = \inf ||T||||T^{-1}||$, the infimum being taken over all invertible operators from Y onto Z (if Y is not isomorphic to Z we put $d(Y, Z) = \infty$). Let $\{X_n\}$ and $\{Y_n\}$ be two sequences of Banach spaces. We shall say that they are *uniformly isomorphic* if $\sup_n d(X_n, Y_n) < \infty$.

The identity operator of a Banach space X is denoted by I_X (or simply by I if X is clear from the context.) For a mapping $\varphi : A \to B$ by $\mathrm{im}\varphi$ we denote the set $\{b \in B : (\exists a \in A)(b = \varphi a)\}$.

Let T be a linear mapping from a linear subspace L of a Banach space X into a Banach space Y. Then L is called the *domain* of T and is denoted by $D(T)$. The set $\{x \in D(T) : Tx = 0\}$ is called the *kernel* of T and is denoted by $\ker T$. For Banach spaces X, Y by $L(X, Y)$ we denote the space of all bounded linear operators from X into Y, endowed with the norm $||T|| = \sup\{||Tx|| : x \in B(X)\}$.

The least cardinal α for which there exists a dense subset of X of cardinality α is called the *density character* of X and is denoted by $\mathrm{dens}X$.

Referring to formula (3.5) we mean formula (5) from section 3.

3. Geometric opening.

3.1. Definition. Let $Y, Z \in G(X)$. The *geometric opening* (or simply *opening*, sometimes *gap*) between Y and Z is defined to be

$$\Theta(Y, Z) = \max\{\sup_{y \in S(Y)} \mathrm{dist}(y, Z), \sup_{z \in S(Z)} \mathrm{dist}(z, Y)\} \tag{1}$$

If the sphere of a subspace is empty (it happens when the subspace is $\{0\}$) then the corresponding supremum is set equal to zero.

3.2. Remark. This definition can be used for nonclosed subspaces as well. For nonclosed Y and Z we have $\Theta(Y, Z) = \Theta(\mathrm{cl}Y, \mathrm{cl}Z)$.

3.3. For $Y, Z \in G(X)$ we let

$$\Theta_0(Y, Z) = \sup_{y \in S(Y)} \mathrm{dist}(y, Z).$$

3.4. Properties of the geometric opening are described in the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let X be a Banach space and let $Y, Z \in G(X)$. Then*

(a) $0 \le \Theta_0(Y, Z) \le 1$ *and* $0 \le \Theta(Y, Z) \le 1$.

(b) $\Theta(Y, Z) = \Theta(Z, Y)$;

(c) $\Theta(Y, Z) = 0 \Rightarrow Y = Z$;

(d) $\Theta_0(Y, Z) = \Theta_0(Z^{\perp}, Y^{\perp})$ *and therefore*

$$\Theta(Y, Z) = \Theta(Y^{\perp}, Z^{\perp}). \tag{2}$$

(e) *If at least one of the subspaces Y and Z is finite dimensional and $\Theta(Y,Z)<1$ then both of them are finite dimensional and* $\dim Y = \dim Z$.

(f) *For every $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \in G(X)$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$\Theta_0(Y_1,Y_3) \le \Theta_0(Y_1,Y_2) + \Theta_0(Y_2,Y_3) + \Theta_0(Y_1,Y_2)\Theta_0(Y_2,Y_3);$$

$$\Theta(Y_1,Y_3) \le \Theta(Y_1,Y_2) + \Theta(Y_2,Y_3) + \Theta(Y_1,Y_2)\Theta(Y_2,Y_3). \tag{3}$$

(Inequality (3) is called "weakened triangle inequality").

(g) *The function $d_g(Y,Z) = \log(1+\Theta(Y,Z))$ is a metric on $G(X)$. The set $G(X)$ is a complete metric space with respect to this metric.*

(h) *If X is a Hilbert space, then*

$$\Theta(Y,Z) = ||P_Y - P_Z||, \tag{4}$$

where P_Y and P_Z are orthogonal projections onto Y and Z respectively.

Proof. Verification of (a), (b) and (c) is immediate. (d) Recall well-known formulas from the duality theory of Banach spaces. For $Z \in G(X)$, $y \in X$ and $y^* \in X^*$ we have

$$\operatorname{dist}(y,Z) = \sup_{z^* \in S(Z^\perp)} |z^*(y)|;$$

$$\operatorname{dist}(y^*, Z^\perp) = \sup_{z \in S(Z)} |y^*(z)|.$$

Therefore

$$\Theta_0(Y,Z) = \sup_{y \in S(Y)} \operatorname{dist}(y,Z) =$$

$$= \sup_{y \in S(Y),\ z^* \in S(Z^\perp)} |z^*(y)| =$$

$$= \sup_{z^* \in S(Z^\perp)} \operatorname{dist}(z^*, Y^\perp) = \Theta_0(Z^\perp, Y^\perp).$$

Statement (e) follows immediately from the following lemma.

3.5. Lemma. *Let $Y, Z \in G(X)$, Z be finite dimensional,* $\dim Y \ge \dim Z$. *Then there exists $y \in S(Y)$ such that* $\operatorname{dist}(y,Z) = 1$.

Proof. It is clear that we may suppose that Y is finite dimensional and $\dim Y = \dim Z + 1$. Suppose first that X is strictly convex i.e. that $||x_1 + x_2|| < ||x_1|| + ||x_2||$ for every linearly independent $x_1, x_2 \in X$. It is easy to see that in this case for every $x \in X$ there exists a unique element of best approximation of x by elements of Z. Let us denote this element by $A(x)$. The mapping A is, generally speaking, nonlinear but it is easy to verify that it is continuous and $A(-x) = -A(x)$. We shall use the following topological result.

3.6. Theorem. (K.Borsuk [Bor], see also [DKL]). *Let S^{n-1} be the unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^n$ and let $\varphi : S^{n-1} \to \mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ be a continuous mapping, for which $\varphi(-x) = -\varphi(x)$. Then there exists a point $x \in S^{n-1}$ such that $\varphi(x) = 0$.*

Since the unit sphere of Y is homeomorphic to $S^{\dim Z}$ and Z is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^{n-1}$ then this theorem is applicable in our situation and we can find $y \in S(Y)$ such that such that 0 is the best approximation of y by elements of Z i.e. $\operatorname{dist}(y,Z) = 1$.

Let us turn to the general case. We may assume that X=lin$(Y \cup Z)$ and therefore, X is finite dimensional. Let $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ be a basis of X and $\{x_i^*\}$ be its biorthogonal functionals. It is easy to check that for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$ the norm

$$||x||_k = (||x||^2 + (1/k)\sum_{i=1}^{n}(x_i^*(x))^2)^{1/2}$$

is strictly convex. Therefore for every $k \in \mathbf{N}$ we can find $y_k \in Y$ such that $||y_k||_k = 1$ and $\mathrm{dist}_k(y_k, Z) = 1$. Since $||y_k|| \leq ||y_k||_k = 1$ then the sequence $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ contains a convergent subsequence. It is easy to verify that its limit is the required vector. Lemma 3.5 and therefore statement (e) have been proved.

(f) It is clear that we need to prove only the first inequality. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $y_1 \in S(Y_1)$. Then for some $y_2 \in Y_2$ we have $||y_1 - y_2|| < \Theta_0(Y_1, Y_2) + \varepsilon$, hence $||y_2|| < 1 + \Theta_0(Y_1, Y_2) + \varepsilon$. For some $y_3 \in Y_3$ we have $||y_2 - y_3|| < (\Theta_0(Y_2, Y_3) + \varepsilon)||y_2||$. Hence $||y_1 - y_3|| < ||y_1 - y_2|| + ||y_2 - y_3|| < \Theta_0(Y_1, Y_2) + \varepsilon + (\Theta_0(Y_2, Y_3) + \varepsilon)(1 + \Theta_0(Y_1, Y_2) + \varepsilon)$. Taking supremum over $y_1 \in S(Y_1)$ and then infimum over $\varepsilon > 0$ we obtain the required inequality.

(g) By (a), (b) and (c) the only thing which we need to prove is the triangle inequality. Let $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \in G(X)$. By (f) we have

$$d_g(Y_1, Y_3) = \log(1 + \Theta(Y_1, Y_3)) \leq \log(1 + \Theta(Y_1, Y_2) + \Theta(Y_2, Y_3) + \Theta(Y_1, Y_2)\Theta(Y_2, Y_3)) =$$

$$\log((1 + \Theta(Y_1, Y_2))(1 + \Theta(Y_2, Y_3))) = \log(1 + \Theta(Y_1, Y_2)) + \log(1 + \Theta(Y_2, Y_3)) =$$

$$d_g(Y_1, Y_2) + d_g(Y_2, Y_3).$$

Let $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G(X)$ be a Cauchy sequence with respect to d_g. We need to show that $\{Y_n\}$ is a convergent sequence. It is sufficient to prove that $\{Y_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence. Therefore we may assume that $\Theta(Y_n, Y_{n+1}) < 2^{-n}$. Let us introduce subset $A \subset S(X)$ as a set of limits of all strongly convergent sequences $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, for which $y_n \in S(Y_n)$ $(n \in \mathbf{N})$. Direct verification shows that A is a unit sphere of some closed subspace Y_0 of X and that $\lim_{n\to\infty} \Theta(Y_n, Y_0) = 0$. Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} d_g(Y_n, Y_0) = 0$ and (g) is proved.

(h) We have

$$||P_Y - P_Z|| = \sup_{x \in S(X)} ||(P_Y - P_Z)x|| = \sup_{x \in S(X)} ||P_Y(I - P_Z)x - (I - P_Y)P_Z x||.$$

Hence

$$||P_Y - P_Z|| = \sup_{x \in S(X)} (||P_Y(I - P_Z)x||^2 + ||(I - P_Y)P_Z x||^2)^{1/2}. \tag{5}$$

Since $||P_Y u||$=dist$(u, Y^{\perp})$ and $||(I - P_Y)u||$=dist(u, Y) then we have $||P_Y - P_Z|| \leq \sup_{x \in S(X)}(\Theta_0(Z^{\perp}, Y^{\perp})^2||(I - P_Z)x||^2 + \Theta_0(Z, Y)^2||P_Z x||^2)^{1/2}$.

Therefore, using (2) we obtain

$$||P_Y - P_Z|| \leq \Theta(Y, Z).$$

On the other hand, taking supremum over $x \in S(Z)$ in (5), we obtain

$$||P_Y - P_Z|| \geq \Theta_0(Z, Y),$$

and taking supremum over $x \in S(Z^\perp)$ in (5), we obtain

$$||P_Y - P_Z|| \geq \Theta_0(Z^\perp, Y^\perp).$$

These inequalities together with statement (d) imply that

$$||P_Y - P_Z|| \geq \Theta(Y, Z).$$

Statement (h) is proved.

Notes and remarks

3.7. The notion of opening between subspaces of a Hilbert space was introduced by M.G.Krein and M.A.Krasnoselskii [KK]. In [KK] the Hilbert space versions of parts (a)–(e) of Theorem 3.4 were proved. In [KK] opening was introduced by formula (1). The equality (4) appeared in the book due to N.I.Akhiezer and I.M.Glazman [AG]. The notion of geometric opening between subspaces of a Banach space was introduced by M.G.Krein, M.A.Krasnoselskii and D.P.Milman in [KKM]. Parts (a)–(e) of Theorem 3.4 were proved in this paper. Later V.M.Tikhomirov [T] rediscovered part (e) of Theorem 3.4. His proof is more complicated. It should be noted that the authors of [KKM] did not use quantity $\Theta_0(Y, Z)$. This quantity was introduced and investigated by T.Kato in [K1]. The results of parts (f) and (g) of Theorem 3.4 are due to I.C.Gohberg and A.S.Markus [GM1].

Since the sets $U_{\varepsilon,Y} = \{Z \in G(X) : \Theta(Z, Y) < \varepsilon\}$ form a base of open sets of the metric space $(G(X), d_g)$ we shall say that its topology is generated by the geometric opening. The main reason for introduction of the notion of opening seems to be the following: this notion is an appropriate tool for generalization of the theory of Carleman-von Neumann of defect numbers of Hermitian operators onto the case of arbitrary operators in Hilbert and Banach spaces. Now we are going to present this generalization.

Let H be a complex Hilbert space and A be a linear mapping from a linear subspace $D(A) \subset H$ into H. The operator A is called *Hermitian* if $D(A)$ is dense in H and

$$(\forall x, y \in D(A))((Ax, y) = (x, Ay)).$$

For every $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ by $N(\lambda, A)$ we shall denote the subspace $(\mathrm{im}(A - \lambda I))^\perp$.

In [KK] and [KKM] the notion of opening was used to generalize the following well-known result (see e.g. [Na, §14]).

3.8. Theorem. *Let A be a Hermitian operator. Then for every complex number α from the open upper halfplane we have $dim N(\alpha, A) = dim N(i, A)$ and for every complex number β from the open lower halfplane we have* $\dim N(\beta, A) = \dim N(-i, A)$.

(Here $i = \sqrt{-1}$.) The numbers $\dim N(i, A)$ and $\dim N(-i, A)$ are called the *defect numbers* of A.

In order to formulate the Krein-Krasnoselskii-Milman generalization of 3.8 we need the following notion. Let X and Y be complex Banach spaces. Let A be a linear mapping from a linear subspace $D(A) \subset X$ into Y. A complex number α is called a *point of regular type* for A if there exists a real number $c(\alpha) > 0$ such that

$$(\forall f \in D(A))(||(A - \alpha I)f|| \geq c(\alpha)||f||).$$

For every $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ let us introduce the subspace $N(\alpha, A) = (\mathrm{im}(A - \alpha I))^\perp \subset Y^*$.

It is easy to see that for every operator A the set of points of regular type for A is an open subset of $\mathbf{C}$ and that complex numbers with nontrivial imaginary parts are points of regular type for every Hermitian operator. Therefore the following result due to M.G.Krein, M.A.Krasnoselskii and D.P.Milman [KKM, p. 111] is a generalization of 3.8.

3.9. Theorem. *Let G be a connected open subset of $\mathbf{C}$ consisting of points of regular type for A. Then the numbers* $\dim N(\lambda, A)$ *are the same for all $\lambda \in G$.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that for every point $\alpha \in G$ there exists a neighbourhood $W \subset G$ such that for every $\lambda \in W$ we have $\dim N(\lambda, A)$=$\dim N(\alpha, A)$.

Let us show that we may take $W = \{\lambda : |\lambda - \alpha| < c(\alpha)/4\} \cap G$. By definition we have

$$||(A - \alpha I)f|| \geq c(\alpha)||f|| \text{ for every } f \in D(A).$$

Hence for every $\lambda \in W$ and every $f \in D(A)$ we have

$$||(A - \lambda I)f|| \geq (3/4)c(\alpha)||f||;$$

$$||(A - \lambda I)f - (A - \alpha I)f|| = |\lambda - \alpha|||f|| < (1/3)||(A - \lambda I)f||; \tag{6}$$

$$||(A - \lambda I)f - (A - \alpha I)f|| < (1/4)||(A - \alpha I)f||. \tag{7}$$

Inequalities (6) and (7) imply that

$$\Theta(\mathrm{im}(A - \lambda I), \mathrm{im}(A - \alpha I)) \leq 1/3$$

Using Theorem 3.4 (d) we obtain

$$\Theta(N(\alpha, A), N(\lambda, A)) \leq 1/3.$$

By Theorem 3.4 (e) it follows that $\dim N(\alpha, A)$=$\dim N(\lambda, A)$. The theorem is proved.

3.10. Although opening Θ has some properties of metric (see parts (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 3.4) for some Banach spaces it is not a metric on $G(X)$. More precisely, I.Gohberg and A.S.Markus [GM1] observed that Θ does not satisfy the triangle inequality for some Banach spaces.

Example. Let $X = l_1^2$ and let $0 < a < b \leq 1$. Let us introduce subspaces $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \in G(X)$ in the following way:

$$Y_1 = \{(x, 0) : \ x \in \mathbf{R}\};$$

$$Y_2 = \{(x, y) : \ y = ax, \ x \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

$$Y_3 = \{(x, y) : \ y = bx, \ x \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

It is not hard to see that $\Theta(Y_1, Y_2) = a, \ \Theta(Y_1, Y_3) = b$ and $\Theta(Y_2, Y_3) = (b - a)/(1 + a)$. (The reader advised to draw the picture.) Since $a+(b-a)/(1+a) = b-a(b-a)/(1+a)$, and $a(b - a)/(1 + a) > 0$ then the triangle inequality is not satisfied.

This example shows that the equality in (3) may be attained for a triple of pairwise distinct subspaces.

3.11. In connection with example 3.10 and part (h) of Theorem 3.4 A.S.Markus proposed the following problem: Describe the class of Banach spaces for which the geometric opening satisfies the triangle inequality.

3.12. I.Gohberg and A.S.Markus [GM1] suggested to consider the following in some respects more convenient metric which generates the same topology on $G(X)$ as the geometric opening.

Let X be a Banach space, $Y, Z \in G(X)$. The *spherical opening* between Y and Z is defined to be

$$\Omega(Y,Z) = \max\{\sup_{y\in S(Y)} \operatorname{dist}(y, S(Z)), \sup_{z\in S(Z)} \operatorname{dist}(z, S(Y))\}.$$

In the case when Y or Z is $\{0\}$, we let $\Omega(Y,Z) = \Theta(Y,Z)$.

The spherical opening satisfies the following conditions.

a) Ω is a metric on $G(X)$. (This statement immediately follows from the following observation: $\Omega(Y,Z)$ coincides with the Hausdorff distance between $S(Y)$ and $S(Z)$).

b) $\Theta(Y,Z) \le \Omega(Y,Z) \le 2\Theta(Y,Z)$.

c) $G(X)$ is complete with respect to Ω. (This statement immediately follows from part (g) of Theorem 3.4.)

3.13. It is natural to mention one more modification of the geometric opening. This modification was introduced by R.Douady [Do] and V.I.Gurarii [Gu1].

Let X be a Banach space, $Y, Z \in G(X)$. The *ball opening* between Y and Z is defined to be

$$\Lambda(Y,Z) = \max\{\sup_{y\in S(Y)} \operatorname{dist}(y, B(Z)), \sup_{z\in S(Z)} \operatorname{dist}(z, B(Y))\}.$$

In the case when Y or Z is $\{0\}$, we let $\Lambda(Y,Z) = \Theta(Y,Z)$.

It is easy to verify that the analogues of statements (a), (b), and (c) from 3.12 are valid for the ball opening. Furthermore it satisfies the inequality

$$0 \le \Lambda(Y,Z) \le 1$$

and, if X is a Hilbert space then

$$\Lambda(Y,Z) = ||P_Z - P_Y||.$$

We shall sometimes use the quantities $\Lambda_0(Y,Z)$ and $\Omega_0(Y,Z)$ which are defined analogously to $\Theta_0(Y,Z)$.

3.14. It should be mentioned that the analogues of the duality formula (2) fail for the spherical and ball openings.

Example. Let $X = l_1^2,\ 0 < a < 1$. Let $Y = \{(x,y) :\ y = ax,\ x \in \mathbf{R}\},\ Z = \{(x,0) :\ x \in \mathbf{R}\}$. We have $X^* = l_\infty^2,\ Y^\perp = \{(x,y) :\ y = -x/a,\ x \in \mathbf{R}\},\ Z^\perp = \{(0,y) :\ y \in \mathbf{R}\}$.

It is easy to verify that

$$\Lambda(Y,Z) = \Omega(Y,Z) = 2a/(1+a);$$

$$\Lambda(Y^\perp, Z^\perp) = \Omega(Y^\perp, Z^\perp) = a.$$

(The reader adviced to draw a picture.) But for $0 < a < 1$ we have

$$2a/(1+a) \ne a.$$

3.15. Remark. J.D.Newburgh [New1] introduced another metric on $G(X)$ which generates the same topology on $G(X)$ as the geometric opening does. This metric was investigated and compared with Θ by E.Berkson [Ber].

3.16. It is interesting to note that A.L.Brown [Br2] proved that the assertion of Theorem 3.6 can be easily deduced if we suppose that the assertion of Lemma 3.5 is true.

4. Operator opening.

4.1. Let X be a Banach space. By $GL(X)$ we shall denote the group (with respect to composition) of all invertible linear operators on X. For $Y, Z \in G(X)$ let

$$r_0(Y,Z) = \inf\{||C - I|| : \ C \in GL(X), \ C(Y) = Z\},$$

if the set over which the infimum is taken is not empty, and $r_0(Y,Z) = 1$ otherwise.

Definition. The *operator opening* between Y and Z is defined by

$$r(Y,Z) = \max\{r_0(Y,Z), r_0(Z,Y)\}.$$

4.2. Properties of the operator opening are described in the following theorem.

Theorem. *Let X be a Banach space and let $Y, Z \in G(X)$.*

(a) $0 \le r_0(Y,Z) \le 1$ *and hence* $0 \le r(Y,Z) \le 1$;

(b) $r(Y,Z) = r(Z,Y)$;

(c) $r_0(Y,Z) \ge \Theta_0(Y,Z)$ *and hence* $r(Y,Z) \ge \Theta(Y,Z)$;

(d) $r_0(Y,Z) < 1 \Rightarrow r_0(Z,Y) \le r_0(Y,Z)/(1 - r_0(Y,Z))$;

(e) *If P_Y and P_Z are projections with images Y and Z respectively, then* $r(Y,Z) \le ||P_Y - P_Z||$;

(f) $r(Y,Z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow Y = Z$.

(g) *If X is a Hilbert space then for every $Y, Z \in G(X)$ the following equality is valid*

$$r(Y,Z) = \Theta(Y,Z).$$

(h) $r_0(Z^\perp, Y^\perp) \le r_0(Y,Z)$.

(i) *For every $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \in G(X)$ the following inequality is valid:*

$$r_0(Y_1,Y_3) \le r_0(Y_1,Y_2) + r_0(Y_2,Y_3) + r_0(Y_1,Y_2)r_0(Y_2,Y_3)$$

and hence

$$r(Y_1,Y_3) \le r(Y_1,Y_2) + r(Y_2,Y_3) + r(Y_1,Y_2)r(Y_2,Y_3).$$

(The last inequality is called "weakened triangle inequality".)

(j) *The function $d_{op}(Y,Z) = \log(1 + r(Y,Z))$ is a metric on $G(X)$. The set $G(X)$ is complete with respect to metric d_{op}.*

Proof. (a) follows from the following observation: the set $\{C \in GL(X) : \ C(Y) = Z\}$ with every operator contains all its multiples.

(b) is evident.

(c) If $C_0 \in \{C \in GL(X) : C(Y) = Z\}$ then

$$||C_0 - I|| \ge \sup_{y \in S(Y)} ||y - C_0 y|| \ge \sup_{y \in S(Y)} \operatorname{dist}(y, Z).$$

This inequality implies (c).

(d) If $C \in GL(X)$ and $C(Y) = Z$ then $C^{-1} \in GL(X)$ and $C^{-1}(Z)$=Y. Furthermore, we have

$$||C^{-1} - I|| \leq ||C - I||||C^{-1}|| \leq ||C - I||/\inf_{x \in S(X)} ||Cx|| \leq ||C - I||/(1 - ||C - I||).$$

Whence we have (d).

(e) The statement (e) is clear when $||P_Z - P_Y|| \geq 1$. So we shall suppose that $||P_Z - P_Y|| < 1$. In this case the operators $I - (P_Y - P_Z)$ and $I - (P_Z - P_Y)$ belong to $GL(X)$. Indeed, it is easy to verify that the operators $I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(P_Y - P_Z)^n$ and $I + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(P_Z - P_Y)^n$ are their inverses. Therefore $(I - (P_Y - P_Z))X = X$ and hence $P_Y(I - P_Y + P_Z)X = P_Y X$, therefore $P_Y Z = Y$. Let us denote the operator $I - (P_Z - P_Y)$ by C. We have $C \in GL(X)$; $||C - I|| = ||P_Z - P_Y||$ and $C(Z) = (I - P_Z + P_Y)Z = Y$. By definition of $r_0(Z, Y)$ we have $r_0(Z, Y) \leq ||C - I|| = ||P_Y - P_Z||$. In the same manner we can estimate $r_0(Y, Z)$. So (e) is proved.

Statement (f) immediately follows from (c) and the analogous statement about Θ.

(g) By (e) we have $r(Y, Z) \leq ||P_Y - P_Z||$, where P_Y and P_Z are the orthogonal projections onto Y and Z respectively. By Theorem 3.4 (h) $||P_Y - P_Z|| = \Theta(Y, Z)$. So we have $r(Y, Z) \leq \Theta(Y, Z)$. Comparing this inequality with (c) we obtain the desired inequality.

(h) The assertion immediately follows from the following observation: if $C \in GL(X)$ and $C(Y) = Z$ then $C^* \in GL(X^*)$ and $C^*(Z^\perp) = Y^\perp$.

(i) The assertion is clear if $r_0(Y_1, Y_2) = 1$ or $r_0(Y_2, Y_3) = 1$. So we shall suppose that it is not the case.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary positive number. Let $C_1 \in GL(X)$ be such that $C_1(Y_1) = Y_2$ and $||C_1 - I|| < r_0(Y_1, Y_2) + \varepsilon$ and let $C_2 \in GL(X)$ be such that $C_2(Y_2) = Y_3$ and $||C_2 - I|| < r_0(Y_2, Y_3) + \varepsilon$. Then $C_2 C_1 \in GL(X)$, $C_2 C_1(Y_1) = Y_3$ and

$$||C_2 C_1 - I|| = ||(C_2 - I)(C_1 - I) + (C_1 - 1) + (C_2 - I)|| \leq ||C_2 - I||||C_1 - I|| +$$

$$||C_1 - I|| + ||C_2 - I|| <$$

$$(r_0(Y_2, Y_3) + \varepsilon)(r_0(Y_1, Y_2) + \varepsilon) + r_0(Y_1, Y_2) + \varepsilon + r_0(Y_2, Y_3) + \varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary then the required inequality follows.

(j) Since we already proved statements (a), (b) and (f) we need to verify the triangle inequality and completeness only.

The triangle inequality for d_{op} follows from (i) (see the proof of Theorem 3.4 (g)).

Let sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset G(X)$ be such that

$$\lim_{m,n \to \infty} d_{op}(Y_n, Y_m) = 0.$$

Since it is enough to prove that $\{Y_n\}$ contains a convergent subsequence, we may assume that

$$r_0(Y_n, Y_{n+1}) \leq 2^{-n-1}.$$

Therefore for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there exists an operator $C_n \in GL(X)$ such that $C_n(Y_n) = Y_{n+1}$ and $||C_n - I|| < 2^{-n}$. It is easy to verify that this condition implies the convergence

of the sequence $T_n = \Pi_{k=1}^{n} C_k$ $(n \in \mathbf{N})$ in the uniform topology. Let us denote its limit by T_0. It is easy to verify that $T_0 \in GL(X)$. Let $Y_0 = T_0(Y_1)$. It is easy to verify that $r_0(Y_k, Y_0) \to 0$ when $k \to \infty$ and, hence, $\lim_{k\to\infty} d_{op}(Y_k, Y_0) = 0$. We finished the proof of Theorem 4.2.

4.3. Remark. It is clear that the sets

$$V_{\varepsilon,Y} = \{Z \in G(X) : \ r(Y, Z) < \varepsilon\}, \ Y \in G(X), \varepsilon > 0$$

form a base of the topology corresponding to the metric d_{op}. So it is reasonable to say that this topology is induced by the operator opening.

Notes and remarks

4.4. Part (g) of Theorem 4.2 implies that in the Hilbert space case the operator opening is a metric on $G(X)$. However in general case it is not so: the triangle inequality may fail for it. Indeed, let $X = l_1^2$ and $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 \in G(X)$ be subspaces introduced in example 3.10. It can be verified that in this case $r(Y_k, Y_j) = \Theta(Y_k, Y_j)$ $(k, j = 1, 2, 3)$ and so, the triangle inequality is not satisfied.

4.5. The operator opening was introduced by J.L.Massera and J.J.Schäffer [MS1, p. 563]. This concept appeared in a natural way in their investigations of linear differential equations in Banach spaces. Analogous concept somewhat later was introduced by A.L.Garkavi [Ga]. He used this concept in the theory of best approximation in Banach spaces.

4.6. All statements of Theorem 4.2 exept statement (h) can be found in [Ber], statement (e) was earlier proved by B.Sz-Nagy [Sz1], [Sz2, p. 132]. Some of them seems to be known to J.L.Massera and J.J.Schäffer [MS1] (see p. 563). Statement (h) I added since it is a natural analogue for the corresponding statement about Θ. It seems that the example from 4.4 was known to J.L.Massera and J.J.Schäffer (see [MS2], §13), but it seems that it was not published anywhere.

5. Comparison of the topologies induced by geometric and operator openings of subspaces.

5.1. When geometric and operator openings were introduced the problem of the comparison of the topologies induced by them arose in a natural way. By Theorem 4.2(c) the topology induced by the operator opening majorize the topology induced by the geometric opening. ¿From Theorem 4.2(g) it follows that in Hilbert space these topologies coincide. In the general case the following version of this result is valid.

5.2. Theorem. *Geometric and operator openings induce the same topology on* $G_c(X)$.

This theorem immediately follows from the next result.

5.3. Proposition. *Let* X *be a Banach space and* $Y \in G_c(X)$. *If* $Z \in G(X)$ *is such that* $\Omega(Z, Y) < 1/\lambda(Y, X)$ *then* $Z \in G_c(X)$ *and*

$$r_0(Y, Z) \le \lambda(Y, X)\Omega(Z, Y)(1 + \lambda(Y, X) - \Omega(Z, Y)\lambda(Y, X))/(1 - \Omega(Z, Y)\lambda(Y, X))$$

Proof. We shall use the following geometrical concept. Let $Y, Z \in G(X)$. The number

$$\delta(Z, Y) = \operatorname{dist}(S(Z), Y)$$

is called the *inclination* of Z to Y.

Let $Y \cap Z = \{0\}$. It is easy to verify that $\delta(Z,Y) > 0$ if and only if the subspace $\mathrm{lin}(Z \cup Y) \subset X$ is closed. Moreover, if $\delta(Z,Y) > 0$ then there exists a projection from $\mathrm{lin}(Z \cup Y)$ onto Z, whose kernel is Y and whose norm is equal to $1/\delta(Z,Y)$.

By definition of $\lambda(Y,X)$ it follows that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a projection $P_{Y,\varepsilon} : X \to Y$ such that $||P_{Y,\varepsilon}|| < \lambda(Y,X) + \varepsilon$. Therefore for U_ε=ker$P_{Y,\varepsilon}$ we have $\delta(Y,U_\varepsilon) > 1/(\lambda(Y,X)+\varepsilon)$. Using the definition of Ω we obtain $\delta(Z,U_\varepsilon) > \delta(Y,U_\varepsilon) - \Omega(Y,Z) > (1 - \Omega(Z,Y)(\lambda(Y,X)+\varepsilon))/(\lambda(Y,X)+\varepsilon)$. So, if ε is small enough then $\delta(Z,U_\varepsilon) > 0$. Let us show that if ε is small enough then $\mathrm{lin}(Z \cup U_\varepsilon) = X$. In fact, let us suppose that it is not the case. Since we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathrm{lin}(Z \cup U_\varepsilon)$ is closed, then we can find $x \in S(X)$ such that dist(x,lin$(Z \cup U_\varepsilon) > 1 - \varepsilon$. Furthermore, we have $x = y + u$, where $y \in Y, u \in U_\varepsilon$ and $||y|| < \lambda(Y,X) + \varepsilon$. Therefore there exists $z \in Z$ such that $||z - y|| < (\lambda(Y,X)+\varepsilon)(\Omega(Z,Y)+\varepsilon)$.

Hence $1 - \varepsilon <$dist(x,lin$(Z \cup U_\varepsilon)) \le ||x - (z+u)|| < (\lambda(Y,X)+\varepsilon)(\Omega(Z,Y)+\varepsilon)$.

It is clear that for ε small enough this inequality is false. So we may assume that there exists a projection of X onto Z whose norm is not greater than $(\lambda(Y,X)+\varepsilon)/(1 - \Omega(Z,Y)(\lambda(Y,X)+\varepsilon))$ and whose kernel is U_ε. We shall denote this projection by $P_{Z,\varepsilon}$. Using Theorem 4.2(e) we obtain

$$r(Y,Z) \le \inf_\varepsilon ||P_{Z,\varepsilon} - P_{Y,\varepsilon}||.$$

We have

$$||P_{Z,\varepsilon} - P_{Y,\varepsilon}|| = \sup_{x \in S(X)} ||P_{Z,\varepsilon}x - P_{Y,\varepsilon}x|| = \sup_{x \in S(X)} ||(P_{Z,\varepsilon} - I)P_{Y,\varepsilon}x|| \le$$

$$\sup_{x \in S(X)} \inf_{z \in Z} ||(P_{Z,\varepsilon} - I)(P_{Y,\varepsilon}x - z)|| \le (||P_{Z,\varepsilon}|| + 1)||P_{Y,\varepsilon}||\Omega(Y,Z).$$

Taking infimum over $\varepsilon > 0$ we obtain the required inequality. The proposition is proved.

5.4. V.I.Gurarii and A.S.Markus [GuM] proved that in general topologies induced on $G(X)$ by geometric and operator openings are different. Here are their arguments. Let K be a complemented subspace of a Banach space Y and let L be an uncomplemented subspace of a Banach space Z and let K and L be isomorphic. V.I.Gurarii and A.S.Markus proved that in such a case the topologies induced on $G(Y \oplus Z)$ by geometric and operator openings are different.

In fact, let $T : L \to K$ be an isomorphism. Let us introduce the following family of subspaces of $Y \oplus Z$:

$$L(\lambda) = \{(\lambda Tx, x) : \ x \in L\} \ (\lambda \in \mathbf{R}, \ \lambda > 0).$$

It is easy to verify that $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} \Theta(L(\lambda), L) = 0$. Since L is uncomplemented then in order to prove that $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} r(L(\lambda), L) \ne 0$ it is sufficient to verify that $L(\lambda)$ $(\lambda > 0)$ are complemented. Let us do that. Let $P : Y \to K$ be a projection onto K. Let $P_\lambda : Y \oplus Z \to Y \oplus Z$ be defined by the equality $P_\lambda(y,z) = (Py, \lambda^{-1}T^{-1}Py)$. It can be directly verified that P_λ is a continuous projection onto $L(\lambda)$.

5.5. The theory of complemented and uncomplemented subspaces is highly developed now. Comparing results of this theory [BDGJN], [B], [LT1], [Pel2], [Ros] with the arguments of 5.4 we can deduce the distinction of the topologies induced by geometric

and operator openings for the most part of common Banach spaces (but with such exeptions as c_0, l_∞, Hilbert spaces).

Below we shall describe another method of proving the distinction of the topologies induced by geometric and operator openings. This method works in the cases $X = c_0, l_\infty$. But the following problem posed by V.I.Gurarii and A.S.Markus [GuM] remains unsolved.

Problem. Does there exist a Banach space which is nonisomorphic to a Hilbert space but is such that the topologies induced on $G(X)$ by geometric and operator openings coincide?

At the moment it is known that these topologies are different for Banach spaces which are not "almost Hilbert" (in the sense described below).

Recall some definitions. Let us denote by $r_i(t)$ $(i \in \mathbf{N},\ t \in [0,1])$ the Rademacher functions. A Banach space X is said to have *type* p $(1 \le p \le 2)$ if for some constant $T_p(X) < \infty$ and for every finite set $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of vectors of X the following inequality takes place

$$(\int_0^1 ||\sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t)x_i||^2 dt)^{1/2} \le T_p(X)(\sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i||^p)^{1/p}.$$

A Banach space X is said to have *cotype* q $(2 \le q \le \infty)$ if for some constant $C_q(X) < \infty$ and every finite set $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of vectors of X the following inequality takes place:

$$C_q(X)(\int_0^1 ||\sum_{i=1}^n r_i(t)x_i||^2 dt)^{1/2} \ge (\sum_{i=1}^n ||x_i||^q)^{1/q}.$$

Let $p(X) = \sup\{p : X \text{ has type } p\}$ and $q(X) = \inf\{q : X \text{ has cotype } q\}$. It is known that for infinite compact K we have $p(C(K)) = 1$ and $q(C(K)) = \infty$. If a measure μ is distinct from the atomic measure with finite set of atoms and $1 \le r < \infty$ then $p(L_r(\mu)) = \min\{r, 2\}$ and $q(L_r(\mu)) = \max\{r, 2\}$. S.Kwapien [Kw] proved that if a Banach space has type 2 and cotype 2 then it is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. This result allows us to consider a Banach space X with $q(X) = p(X) = 2$ as an "almost Hilbert" one.

Extensive information on type and cotype (including results mentioned above) may be found in [LT2], [MiS] and [Pis1].

5.6 Theorem. *If a Banach space X is such that $p(X) \ne 2$ or $q(X) \ne 2$, then the topology induced on $G(X)$ by the operator opening is strictly stronger than the topology induced by the geometric opening.*

We divide the proof of the theorem into two parts. The first, Proposition 5.7, is a "pasting together" of infinite-dimensional subspaces $\{W_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$ for which the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} r(W_0, W_j) \ne 0, \tag{1}$$

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \Theta(W_0, W_j) = 0. \tag{2}$$

from finite-dimensional "pieces". The second, Proposition 5.8, is a construction of suitable finite-dimensional "pieces" in spaces satisfying the condition of the theorem.

5.7. Proposition. *Let a Banach space X be such that for some $\gamma > 1$, every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $Y \in G(X)$ of finite codimension in X there exist finite-dimensional*

subspaces Z_1 and Z_2 in $G(Y)$ such that $\Theta(Z_1, Z_2) < \varepsilon$ and $d(Z_1, Z_2) > \gamma$. Then the topology induced by the operator opening on $G(X)$ is strictly stronger than the topology induced by the geometric opening on $G(X)$.

Proof. We construct a sequence of subspaces of X that satisfy conditions (1) and (2). Let the numbers $\{\delta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be such that $\delta_i > 0$ and $\Delta = \Pi_{i=1}^{\infty}(1 + 2\delta_i) < \infty$. We take $Y_1 = X,\ \varepsilon_1 = 1$. According to the condition of the proposition, finite dimensional $Z_1^1, Z_2^1 \subset Y_1$ with $\Theta(Z_1^1, Z_2^1) < \varepsilon_1,\ d(Z_1^1, Z_2^1) > \gamma$ can be found. We consider the δ_1-net $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{n(1)}$ on $S(\mathrm{lin}(Z_1^1 \cup Z_2^1))$ and functionals $\{y_i^*\}_{i=1}^{n(1)} \subset S(X^*)$ such that $y_i^*(y_i) = 1$. We let $Y_2 = (\{y_i^*\}_{i=1}^{n(1)})^{\top}$ (intersection of the kernels of functionals y_i^*), $\varepsilon_2 = 1/2$. For them in turn we find finite-dimensional $Z_1^2, Z_2^2 \subset Y_2$, such that $\Theta(Z_1^2, Z_2^2) < \varepsilon_2,\ d(Z_1^2, Z_2^2) > \gamma$. We consider the δ_2-net $\{y_i\}_{i=1}^{n(2)}$ of $S(\mathrm{lin}(\cup_{i=1}^{2}(Z_1^i \cup Z_2^i)))$ and functionals $\{y_i^*\}_{i=1}^{n(2)}$ such that $y_i^*(y_i) = 1$. Further, we take $Y_3 = (\{y_i^*\}_{i=1}^{n(2)})^{\top},\ \varepsilon_3 = 1/3$, etc. We shall investigate the space $V = \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{lin}(\cup_{i=1}^{\infty} Z^i))$, where $Z^i = \mathrm{lin}(Z_1^i \cup Z_2^i)$. Repeating the arguments from [LT1, p. 4] we can prove that spaces Z^i form a Schauder decomposition of V. Let $\pi_{k,n},\ k \le n$, be operators on V defined by the equations $\pi_{k,n}(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z^i) = \sum_{i=k}^{n} z^i$. By arguments analogous to those in [LT1, p. 5], we can show that the operators $\pi_{k,n}$ are bounded and $\sup_{k,n} ||\pi_{k,n}|| \le 2\Delta < \infty$. Therefore $[z] = \sup_{k,n} ||\pi_{k,n} z||$ is an equivalent norm on V. We extend it onto the whole X using the following construction due to A.Pelczynski [Pel1] (Proposition 1). Let B_1 be the unit ball of V in the new norm and let $\alpha > 0$ be such that $(\alpha B(X) \cap V) \subset B_1$, where $B(X)$ is the unit ball of X in the original norm. Let us introduce new norm on X as a Minkowski functional of $\mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{conv}(\alpha B(X) \cup B_1))$. It is easy to verify that this norm coincides with $[\cdot]$ on V. So we may denote it also by $[\cdot]$. It is easy to verify that this norm coincides with the original on $Z^i,\ i = 1, 2, \ldots$, and in addition has the following property:

$$(\forall m(2) > m(1) > n(1) > n(2))(\forall (z^i)_{i=n(2)}^{m(2)}, z^i \in Z^i)$$

$$([\sum_{i=n(2)}^{m(2)} z^i] \ge [\sum_{i=n(1)}^{m(1)} z^i]). \tag{3}$$

It is clear that it is sufficient to prove the distinction of the topologies for X with the norm $[\cdot]$. The desired sequence of subspaces will be the following:

$$W_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus Z_1^i;\ W_j = (\sum_{i \ne j} \oplus Z_1^i) \oplus Z_2^j.$$

In order to prove (2) it is sufficient to show that $\Theta(W_j, W_0) < \varepsilon_j$. Let $z \in S(W_j),\ z = z_2^j + \sum_{i \ne j} z_1^i$. By (3) we have $[z_2^j] \le 1$. Using the fact that a new norm coincides on Z^j with the original, and consequently the inequality $\Theta(Z_1^j, Z_2^j) < \varepsilon_j$ is preserved, we find a vector $z_1^j \in Z_1^j$ such that $[z_1^j - z_2^j] < \varepsilon_j$. For the vector $\tilde{z} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} z_1^i$ we have $\tilde{z} \in W_0, [\tilde{z} - z] < \varepsilon_j$. Analogous arguments can be carried out also for $\tilde{z} \in S(W_0)$. Thus (2) is proved.

We shall prove (1) by contradiction. Let $\{\phi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of bounded linear operators on X that satisfy the conditions:

$$\text{I) } [I_X - \phi_j] \to 0;$$

II) $\phi_j(W_j) = W_0$.

We introduce operator $\tau_j : Z_2^j \to Z_1^j$ as the restriction of operator $\pi_{j,j}\phi_j$ to the space Z_2^j. For $z_2^j \in Z_2^j$ we have $\phi_j(z_2^j) = \tau_j z_2^j + \sum_{i\neq j} w_1^i$ for some $w_1^i \in Z_1^i$. Consequently, by (2) we have

$$[z_2^j - \tau_j z_2^j] \leq [(z_2^j - \tau_j z_2^j) + \sum_{i\neq j} w_1^i] = [z_2^j - \phi_j z_2^j] \leq [z_2^j][I_X - \phi_j].$$

We obtain $[z_2^j - \tau_j z_2^j] \to 0$ uniformly over $z_2^j \in S(Z_2^j)$. Therefore, starting from some j, the operators τ_j are isomorphisms of Z_2^j into Z_1^j, and, in addition $[\tau_j][\tau_j^{-1}] \to 1$ when $j \to \infty$. This contradicts inequality $d(Z_1^j, Z_2^j) > \gamma > 1$, which is preserved also in the new norm, since it coincides on Z^j with the original. The proposition is proved.

5.8. Proposition. *If a Banach space X is such that $q(X) \neq 2$ or $p(X) \neq 2$, then for every $\gamma > 1$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist finite dimensional subspaces $Z_1, Z_2 \in G(X)$ such that $d(Z_1, Z_2) > \gamma$ and $\Theta(Z_1, Z_2) \leq \varepsilon$.*

In the proof of this proposition we shall use the following construction. Let X be a Banach space and let $Y \in G(X)$. Let us denote by ϑ be the quotient mapping $X \to X/Y$. In the space $X \oplus_1 (X/Y)$ we introduce the following subspaces $G_0 = Y \oplus_1 (X/Y)$ and $G_\varepsilon = \{(\varepsilon x, \vartheta x) : \ x \in X\}, \ 1 > \varepsilon > 0$.

5.9. Lemma. *The equalities $d(G_\varepsilon, X) \leq (1+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon$ and $\Theta(G_0, G_\varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon$ take place.*

Proof. The first inequality follows from the fact that the operator $\tau : X \to G_\varepsilon$, defined by the equation $\tau x = (\varepsilon x, \vartheta x)$, satisfies inequalities $||\tau|| \leq 1+\varepsilon, ||\tau^{-1}|| \leq 1/\varepsilon$. We shall prove the second inequality. Let $u \in G_\varepsilon, \ u = (\varepsilon x, \vartheta x)$. Then $||u|| = \varepsilon||x|| + ||\vartheta x||$. By the fact that ϑ is a quotient mapping, for every $\delta > 0$ we can find $y_\delta \in \mathrm{Ker}\vartheta = Y$ such that $||x - y_\delta|| < ||\vartheta x|| + \delta$. We introduce a vector $v_\delta(u) = (\varepsilon y_\delta, \ \vartheta x) \in G_0$. For $v_\delta(u)$ we have $||u - v_\delta(u)|| < (||\vartheta x|| + \delta)\varepsilon$. Therefore,

$$\sup_{u\in S(G_\varepsilon)} \mathrm{dist}(u, G_0) \leq \sup\{\inf_{\delta>0}(||u - v_\delta(u)||/||u||) : \ u \in G_\varepsilon, \ u \neq 0\} \leq$$

$$\sup\{\inf_{\delta>0} \varepsilon(||\vartheta x|| + \delta)/(\varepsilon||x|| + ||\vartheta x||) : \ u = (\varepsilon x, \vartheta x) \neq 0\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Let $v \in G_0, \ v = (y, z)$. We take $x_\delta \in X$ such that $z = \vartheta x_\delta$ and $||x_\delta|| \leq ||z|| + \delta$. We introduce vectors $u_\delta(v) = (y + \varepsilon x_\delta, \ z) \in G_\varepsilon$. We have

$$\sup_{v\in S(G_0)} \mathrm{dist}(v, \ G_\varepsilon) \leq \sup\{\inf_{\delta>0}(||v - u_\delta(v)||/||v||) : \ v \in G_0, v \neq 0\} \leq$$

$$\sup\{\inf_{\delta>0} \varepsilon(||z|| + \delta)/(||y|| + ||z||) : \ 0 \neq v = (y, \ z) \in G_0\} \leq \varepsilon.$$

Lemma 5.9 is proved.

5.10. Proof of Proposition 5.8. Let $1 < p = p(X) < 2, \ q = p/(p-1)$. In [Ros, p. 286] it was proved that there exists a sequence of spaces $\{X_n\}$, $\dim X_n = n$, that satisfy the following conditions:

1) X_n is a subspace of l_q^m for some $m = m(n) \in \mathbf{N}$.

2) The subspaces $X_n^\perp \subset l_p^m$ are such that for any sequence $\{Y_n\}$ of subspaces of l_p which are uniformly isomorphic to $\{X_n^\perp\}$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda(Y_n, l_p) = \infty.$$

3) Spaces $\{X_n^*\}$ are uniformly isomorphic to some subspaces $\{W_n\}$ of l_p.

We introduce the spaces

$$L_n = l_p^{m(n)} \oplus_1 (l_p^{m(n)}/(X_n^\perp)), \tag{4}$$

where sequences $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{m(n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are such that conditions 1, 2 and 3 are satisfied. We note that the second term in (4) is isometric to X_n^*, and therefore from condition 3 and the Maurey-Pisier theorem [MiS, p. 85] it follows that a sequence of subspaces $M_n \subset X,\ n = 1, 2, \ldots$ can be found such that $\sup_n d(M_n, L_n) < \infty$. Therefore it is sufficient to construct the desired Z_1 and Z_2 in some of L_n. After using Lemma 5.9 for $X = l_p^{m(n)},\ Y = X_n^\perp$, we find $G_0^n,\ G_\varepsilon^n \subset L_n$ such that $\Theta(G_0^n, G_\varepsilon^n) \le \varepsilon;\ d(l_p^{m(n)}, G_\varepsilon^n) \le (1+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon$. ¿From condition 2 and the equation $G_0^n = X_n^\perp \oplus_1 (l_p^{m(n)}/(X_n^\perp))$ we conclude that $d(l_p^{m(n)}, G_0^n) \to \infty$ when $n \to \infty$. Since $d(G_0^n, G_\varepsilon^n) \ge d(l_p^{m(n)}, G_0^n)/d(l_p^{m(n)},\ G_\varepsilon^n)$, then by choosing n sufficiently large, we get $d(G_0^n, G_\varepsilon^n) > \gamma$. Therefore for Z_1 and Z_2 we can take, respectively, G_ε^n and G_0^n.

In the case $p(X) = 1$ we use the fact that the above-constructed Z_1 and Z_2 can be embedded in X with the help of M.I.Kadets' theorem [Kad1] (see also [MiS, p. 50]).

We consider now the case $q = q(X) > 2$. We shall use the fact that for $p = q/(q-1)$ ($p = 1$ in the case $q = \infty$) we have the following assertion [MiS, pp. 21, 23]: $\alpha > 0$ can be found such that for each $n \in \mathbf{N}$ in l_p^n, a subspace Y_n can be found with $\dim Y_n = [\alpha n]$ (integral part) and $d(Y_n . l_2^{[\alpha n]}) < 2$. In the same time, if subspaces $Z_n \subset l_q^n$ are such that $\dim Z_n / n^{2/q} \to \infty$ when $n \to \infty$ ($\dim Z_n / \ln(n) \to \infty$ in the case $q = \infty$), then

$$d(Z_n, l_2^{t(n)}) \to \infty, \text{ when } n \to \infty, \tag{5}$$

where $t(n) = \dim Z_n$. From the first statement it follows that subspaces X_n of codimension $[\alpha n]$ can be found in l_q^n such that $d(l_q^n/X_n, l_2^{[\alpha n]}) < 2$.

We consider spaces $L_n = l_q^n \oplus_1 (l_q^n/X_n)$. Using the Maurey-Pisier theorem [MiS, p. 85] and Dvoretzky's theorem [MiS, p. 24], we get that subspaces M_n can be found in X such that $\sup_n d(L_n, M_n) < \infty$. Therefore it is sufficient to construct the desired Z_1 and Z_2 in some of L_n's. For this we use the lemma with $X = l_q^n,\ Y = X_n$. We obtain spaces $G_\varepsilon^n, G_0^n \subset L_n$ for which $d(G_\varepsilon^n, l_q^n) \le (1+\varepsilon)/\varepsilon,\ \Theta(G_\varepsilon^n, G_0^n) \le \varepsilon$. Since $G_0^n = X_n \oplus_1 (l_q^n/X_n)$ has a subspace l_q^n/X_n for which $d(l_q^n/X_n, l_2^{[\alpha n]}) < 2$, then from (5) it follows that $d(G_0^n, l_q^n) \to \infty$ when $n \to \infty$. We argue further in the same way as in the first case. The proposition is proved.

5.11. The theorem follows from Propositions 5.7 and 5.8 since, as it is easy to see, if $Y \subset X$ and $\operatorname{codim} Y < \infty$, then $p(X) = p(Y)$ and $q(X) = q(Y)$.

Notes and remarks.

5.12. Theorem 5.2 is due to E.Berkson [Ber]. Theorem 5.6 and Propositions 5.7 and 5.8 are due to the author [O7]. After publication of [O7] the author learned that Lemma 5.9 was already known to A.Douady [D] (see pp. 15–16). In [O4] the "localized" version of 5.4 was developed. Using this version it can be proved that in order to solve in negative the Gurarii-Markus problem from 5.5 it is sufficient to prove the following inversion of B.Maurey's theorem from [Ma]: If a Banach space X does not have type 2 then there exists a sequence of finite dimensional subspaces $X(n) \subset X$ such that $\sup_n d(X(n), l_2^{\dim(X(n))}) < \infty$ and $\sup_n \lambda(X(n), X) = \infty$.

6. Community of properties of subspaces which are close with respect to the opening.

6.1. Subspaces which are close with respect to the operator opening are close with respect to the Banach-Mazur distance.

Proposition. *If* $r_0(Y,Z) < 1$, *then*

$$d(Y,Z) \le (1 + r_0(Y,Z))/(1 - r_0(Y,Z)).$$

Indeed, let $T \in GL(X)$ be such that $T(Y) = Z$ and $||T - I|| \le r_0(Y,Z) + \varepsilon < 1$. Then $T^{-1} = I + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(I - T)^i$. Therefore

$$||T^{-1}|| \le 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(r_0(Y,Z) + \varepsilon)^i = 1/(1 - r_0(Y,Z) - \varepsilon).$$

Whence it follows the desired inequality.

6.2. The following proposition may be considered as an inversion of statement from 6.1.

Proposition. *Let* Y *and* Z *be isomorphic Banach spaces. Then for every* $\varepsilon > 0$ *there exists a Banach space* X *and isometric embeddings of* Y *and* Z *into* X *such that for their images (which we still denote by* Y *and* Z*) the following inequalities are satisfied:*

$$r_0(Y,Z) \le d(Y,Z) + \varepsilon - 1; \tag{1}$$

$$\Omega(Y,Z) \le d(Y,Z) + \varepsilon - 1 \tag{2}$$

Proof. Let $U : Y \to Z$ be an isomorphism such that $||U|| = 1$ and $||U^{-1}|| \le d(Y,Z) + \varepsilon$. On the direct sum $Y \oplus Z$ we introduce the following seminorm:

$$p(y,z) = \max\{||z + Uy||, \sup\{|z^*(z) + U^*z^*(y)/||U^*z^*|||} : z^* \in S(Z^*)\}\}.$$

This seminorm generates a norm on the quotient of $Y \oplus Z$ by the zero space of this seminorm. We shall denote the completion of the corresponding normed space by X. The spaces Y and Z isometrically embed into X in a natural way.

Let us introduce an operator T on $Y \oplus Z$ by the equality:

$$T(y,z) = (-U^{-1}z, 2z + Uy).$$

We have

$$p((T - I)(y,z)) = p(-U^{-1}z - y, z + Uy) =$$

$$\max\{||(z + Uy) + U(-U^{-1}z - y)||, \sup\{|z^*(z + Uy) + U^*z^*(-U^{-1}z - y)/||U^*z^*|||} :$$

$$z^* \in S(Z^*)\}\} \le ||z + Uy|| \sup\{|1 - 1/||U^*z^*|||} : z^* \in S(Z^*)\} \le$$

$$p(y,z)(||(U^*)^{-1}|| - 1) \le p(y,z)(d(Y,Z) + \varepsilon - 1).$$

This inequality implies that T induces continuous operator on X for which $T(Y) = Z$ and $||T - I|| \le d(Y,Z) + \varepsilon - 1$. Thus, we have inequality (1).

In order to prove inequality (2) it is sufficient to verify that for every $y \in S(Y)$ we have $p(y, -Uy/||Uy||) \le d(Y,Z) + \varepsilon - 1$. We have

$$p(y, -Uy/||Uy||) =$$

$$\max\{||Uy||(1/||Uy||-1); \sup\{|-z^*(Uy)/||Uy|| + U^*z^*(y)/||U^*z^*|||: \ z^* \in S(Z^*)\}\} \le$$
$$\max\{(||U^{-1}||-1); \sup\{|z^*(Uy)\,||\,1/||U^*z^*|| - 1/||Uy||| : \ z^* \in S(Z^*)\}\} \le$$
$$d(Y,Z) + \varepsilon - 1.$$

The proposition is proved.

6.3. By Propositions 6.1 and 6.2 the class of properties which are common for subspaces which are close with respect to the operator opening coincides with the class of properties which are common for spaces which are close with respect to the Banach-Mazur distance. The situation with the analogous problem for the geometric opening turns out to be quite different. No smallness of the $\Theta(Y,Z)$ implies that Y and Z are isomorphic. This assertion may be deduced from Lemma 5.9. Indeed, it is well-known that for $X = l_1$ there exists a subspace $Y \in G(X)$ such that X/Y is isometric to l_2 [LT1, p. 108]. Let G_ε ($\varepsilon > 0$) and G_0 be the subspaces introduced before Lemma 5.9. By Lemma 5.9 all G_ε ($\varepsilon > 0$) are isomorphic to l_1 and $\Theta(G_\varepsilon, G_0) \to 0$ when $\varepsilon \to 0$. But the space $G_0 = Y \oplus l_2$ is not isomorphic to l_1 [LT1, p. 54]. This arguments prove that the set of all subspaces in $G(X)$ which are isomorphic to the given one ($Y \oplus l_2$ in the example) may be even non-open in $G(X)$ in the topology induced by the geometric opening.

6.4. In this connection it seems natural to introduce the following definitions.

By *property* we shall mean a subclass in the class of all Banach spaces. When X satisfies property P we shall write $X \in P$.

A property P will be called *open* if for every Banach space X the subset $P \cap G(X) \subset G(X)$ is open in the topology induced by the opening Θ.

A property P is called *stable* if there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that for every Banach space X and every $Y, Z \in G(X)$, if $Y \in P$ and $\Theta(Y,Z) < \alpha$, then $Z \in P$. The least upper bound of numbers α for which this statement is true is denoted by $s(P)$ and is called the *stability exponent* of P.

A property P will be called *extendedly stable* if there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that for every Banach space X and every $Y, Z \in G(X)$, if $Y \in P$ and $\Theta_0(Z,Y) < \alpha$, then $Z \in$P. The least upper bound of numbers α for which this statement is true is denoted by $es(P)$ and is called the *extended stability exponent* of P.

6.5. Remark. *It is clear that stable properties are open and extendedly stable properties are stable.*

6.6. Proposition. *If property P is open, then for every $Y \in P$ there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that for every isometric embedding $U : Y \to X$ and every $Z \in G(X)$, if $\Theta(Z, UY) < \alpha$, then $Z \in P$.*

Proof. Let us suppose the contrary. Then there exists a space $Y \in P$, spaces $X(i)$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$), isometric embeddings $U_i : Y \to X(i)$ and subspaces $Z(i) \in G(X(i))$ such that $\Theta(U_iY, Z(i)) \to 0$ when $i \to \infty$.

Let us introduce a space $X = (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus X(i))_1$ and a subspace $K \subset X$ consisting of those sequences $\{x(i)\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ for which $x(i) \in \mathrm{im}U_i$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i^{-1}x(i) = 0$. Then the restrictions of the quotient mapping $\varphi : X \to X/K$ to the subspaces $X(i)$ are isometries. For every $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$ we have $\varphi U_i Y = \varphi U_j$Y. Let us denote this subspace of X/K by V. We have $V \in P$, $\varphi(Z(i)) \notin P$ and $\lim_{i\to\infty} \Theta(\varphi(Z(i)), V) = 0$. This contradicts to the fact that P is open. The proposition is proved.

6.7. Proposition. *Stable properties are isomorphic invariants.*

Proof. Let P be a stable property and $s(P)$ be its stability exponent. Using formula (2) with $\varepsilon = s(P)/2$ we obtain that if $d(Y,Z) < s(P)/2+1$ and $Y \in P$ then $Z \in$P.

Let $Y \in P$, Z be isomorphic to Y and $T : Y \to Z$ be an isomorphism. Let us introduce the following family of equivalent norms on Y:

$$||y||_t = (1-t)||y||_Y + t||Ty||_Z,\ t \in [0,1].$$

It is clear that $Y(0)$ is isometric to Y, $Y(1)$ is isometric to Z, and the function $t \to d(Y, Y(t))$ is continuous. Hence we can find a set of points $t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n \in [0,1]$ such that

$$d(Y, Y(t_1)) < s(P)/2+1;\ d(Y(t_n), Z) < s(P)/2+1;$$
$$d(Y(t_k), Y(t_{k+1})) < s(P)/2+1,\ (k = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1).$$

By this and the observation made at the begining of the proof it follows that $Z \in P$. The proposition is proved.

6.8. An open property need not be an isomorphic invariant.

Example. $P = \{X : (\exists x_1, x_2 \in B(X))(||x_1+x_2|| > 3/2)\ \&(||x_1-x_2|| > 3/2)\}$. It is not hard to show that this property is open. On the other hand, by the parallellogram identity it follows that $l_2 \notin P$. In the same time, the space $l_1^2 \oplus_1 l_2$ is isomorphic to l_2 and $l_1^2 \oplus_1 l_2 \in P$.

6.9. Set-theoretic operations (union, intersection, complement) may be introduced for properties in a natural way. It is not hard to verify the following assertions:

Proposition. a) *The intersection of finite collection of open properties is open. The union of every class of open properties is open.*

b) *Let* $\{P_\alpha : \alpha \in A\}$ *be some class of stable properties and* $\inf_{\alpha\in A} s(P_\alpha) > 0$, *then* $\cap_{\alpha\in A} P_\alpha$ *and* $\cup_{\alpha\in A} P_\alpha$ *are stable properties. Furthermore,* $s(\cap_{\alpha\in A} P_\alpha) > \inf_{\alpha\in A} s(P_\alpha)$ *and* $s(\cup_{\alpha\in A} P_\alpha) > \inf_{\alpha\in A} s(P_\alpha)$. *Analogous assertion is valid for extendedly stable properties.*

c) *The complement of the stable property is stable.*

As a rule we shall not formulate consequences of our results which can be obtained by immediate application of this proposition.

6.10. Example. By Theorem 3.4 (e) it follows that for every natural number n the class of all n-dimensional subspaces is stable and its stability exponent is 1. By Lemma 3.5 the class of the spaces whose dimension is not greater than n is extendedly stable. It is clear that its complement is not extendedly stable.

6.11. The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 5.9 and Proposition 6.7.

Proposition. a) *Let property P be such that for some Banach spaces X and W the following conditions are satisfied:*

1) $X \in P$.

2) X *can be decomposed into a direct sum* $X = Y \oplus Z$.

3) W *contains a subspace* W_0, *which is isomorphic to* Y *and such that the quotient* W/W_0 *is isomorphic to* Z.

4) W *is not isomorphic to any space from* P.

Then P is not open.

b) *If there exists $X \in P$ such that for some $Y \in G(X)$ the space $Y \oplus_1 (X/Y)$ is not in P, then P is not stable.*

c) *If P is such that for some Banach spaces X and W conditions* 1–3 *of* (a) *are satisfied and W contains a subspace which is not in P, then P is not extendedly stable.*

d) *If there exists $X \in P$ such that for some $Y \in G(X)$ the space $Y \oplus_1 (X/Y)$ contains a subspace which is not in P, then P is not extendedly stable.*

6.12. Part (a) of proposition 6.11 shows that if property P is an isomorphic invariant and is such that for $Y, Z \in P$ we have $Y \oplus Z \in P$ then the negative solution of the so-called "three space problem" is a sufficient condition for P to be non-open.

Recall that the "three space problem" for property P is the following problem: Let X be a Banach space such that for some $Y \in G(X)$ we have $Y \in P$ and $X/Y \in P$. Does it follow that $X \in P$?

This problem was investigated for different properties by many authors. In the present context results of the negative character are of interest. Such results can be found in [CG1], [CG2], [C], [ELP], [JR], [KP], [Lu], [O8], [OP].

It is natural to ask the following question: Let property P be non-open. Is it true that the "three space problem" for P has the negative answer? In order to avoid trivial situations we shall additionally suppose that P is closed with respect to formation of direct sums and is isomorphic invariant.

It turned out that even under this restriction the answer to the posed question is negative. The corresponding example is given by the introduced in 5.5 class of "almost Hilbert" spaces. In [ELP] it was proved that the "three space problem" for this class has positive solution. On the other hand we shall see later that this class is not open.

It should be noted that by the known results on type and cotype [Pis2] it follows that the class of "almost Hilbert" spaces does not satisfy the condition of part (b) of Proposition 6.11.

6.13. Example. Proposition 5.3 immediately implies that the class of injective Banach spaces is open. Let us consider $X = l_\infty$ and let $Y \in G(X)$ be isometric to l_2. By part (b) of Proposition 6.11 it follows that the class of injective spaces is not stable.

6.14. Now we turn to another method of establishing unstability of classes of Banach spaces. This method is quite general: every unstable class satisfies its conditions. But sometimes it is not clear how to apply this method to concrete classes.

Let Y and Z be Banach spaces and let $T : S(Y) \to S(Z)$ and $D : S(Y^*) \to S(Z^*)$ be surjective mappings. Let us introduce on the algebraic sum $Y \oplus Z$ the following seminorm:

$$p(y, z) = \sup\{|y^*(y) - (Dy^*)(z)| : y^* \in S(Y^*)\}.$$

Seminorm p generates norm on the quotient of $Y \oplus Z$ by the zero-space of p. We denote the completion of this normed space by X.

By properties of D it follows that Y and Z are isometric with their natural images in X. (This images we shall still denote by Y and Z.) We have

$$\Omega_0(Y, Z) = \sup\{\mathrm{dist}(y, S(Z)) : y \in S(Y)\} \le \sup\{||y - Ty|| : y \in S(Y)\} =$$

$$\sup\{|y^*(y) - (Dy^*)(Ty)| : \ y \in S(Y), \ y^* \in S(Y^*)\}.$$

$$\Omega_0(Z,Y) = \sup\{\mathrm{dist}(z, S(Y)) : z \in S(Z)\} \le \sup\{||z-y|| : \ y \in T^{-1}z, \ z \in S(Z)\} =$$
$$\sup\{||y - Ty|| : \ y \in S(Y)\}.$$

Hence $\Omega(Y,Z)$ is not greater than the following quantity.

$$\sup\{|y^*(y) - (Dy^*)(Ty)| : \ y \in S(Y), \ y^* \in S(Y^*)\}. \tag{3}$$

Let us introduce the quantity $k(Y,Z)$ as the infimum of quantities (3) over all surjective mappings $T : S(Y) \to S(Z)$ and $D : S(Y^*) \to S(Z^*)$. We have

$$\inf_{X,U,V} \Omega(UY, VZ) \le k(Y,Z), \tag{4}$$

where the infimum is taken over all Banach spaces X containing isometric copies of Y and Z and over all isometric embeddings $U : Y \to X$ and $V : Z \to X$. It turns out [O9] that for certain $a > 0$ (e.g., we may let $a = 1/20$) we have

$$\inf_{X,U,V} \Omega(UY, VZ) \ge ak(Y,Z). \tag{5}$$

Using inequalities (4) and (5) and Proposition 6.6 we obtain:

Proposition. (a) *Property P is non-open if and only if for some $Y \in P$ and some Banach spaces $Z_n \notin P$ $(n \in \mathbf{N})$ we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} k(Y, Z_n) = 0.$$

(b) *Property P is unstable if and only if for some $Y_n \in P$ and some Banach spaces $Z_n \notin P$ $(n \in \mathbf{N})$ we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} k(Y_n, Z_n) = 0.$$

6.15. M.I.Kadets [Kad2] applied construction from 6.14 to $Y = l_2$ and $Z = l_p$, where $1 < p < 2$. Considering mappings $T : l_2 \to l_p$ and $D : l_2 \to l_q$ (where q is such that $1/q + 1/p = 1$) defined by the equations

$$T(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty) = \{|x_i|^{2/p}\mathrm{sign}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty;$$
$$D(\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty) = \{|x_i|^{2/q}\mathrm{sign}(x_i)\}_{i=1}^\infty,$$

he obtained the following estimate:

$$k(l_2, l_p) \le 2(2/p - 1). \tag{6}$$

By proposition 6.14 (a) this inequality implies that the class of spaces isomorphic to l_2 is non-open.

Using analogous estimates the present author [O1] proved that for every $1 < p < \infty$ the class of spaces isomorphic to l_p is non-open.

6.16. Corollary. *The class of "almost Hilbert" spaces is non-open.*

Indeed, since for $r < 2$ we have $p(l_r) = r < 2$ (see 5.5) it follows that for every $r < 2$ the space l_r is not "almost Hilbert". Comparing this assertion with Proposition 6.14 and estimate (6) we obtain the required result.

6.17. Let us turn to stable properties. We are going to describe a method of finding extendedly stable properties.

Let Γ be a set, $l_1(\Gamma)$ be the corresponding Banach space. (I.e. the space of functions $f : \Gamma \to \mathbf{R}$ with countable support, denoted by $\mathrm{supp} f$ and such that $\sum_{\gamma\in\mathrm{supp} f} |f(\gamma)| < \infty$. The norm on $l_1(\Gamma)$ is defined as $||f|| = \sum_{\gamma\in\mathrm{supp} f} |f(\gamma)|$.) Let X be a Banach space. By $l_\infty(\Gamma, X)$ we denote the space of functions $x : \Gamma \to X$ such that $\sup_{\gamma\in\Gamma} ||x(\gamma)||_X < \infty$, with the norm $||x|| = \sup_{\gamma\in\Gamma} ||x(\gamma)||_X$.

Let A be a subset of the unit sphere of $l_1(\Gamma)$. For every $a \in A$ we introduce a linear operator from $l_\infty(\Gamma, X)$ into X defined in the following way:

$$x \to \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} a(\gamma)x(\gamma).$$

This operator will be also denoted by a. It is clear that the norm of this operator equals 1.

6.18. Definition. By the *index of A in X* we mean the supremum $h(X, A)$ of those δ for which there exists $x \in S(l_\infty(\Gamma, X))$ such that $\inf_{a\in A} ||a(x)|| \geq \delta$.

Many common properties of Banach spaces can be described in terms of introduced indices.

6.19. Example. Recall the characterization of reflexivity due to R.C.James [J1] and D.P.Milman-V.D.Milman [MM].

Let X be a Banach space. The following assertions are equivalent:

a) X is nonreflexive.

b) For some $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset B(X)$ such that

$$(\forall n \in \mathbf{N})(\mathrm{dist}(\mathrm{conv}\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}, \mathrm{conv}\{x_{n+1}, \ldots\}) \geq \varepsilon) \tag{7}$$

c) For every $1 > \varepsilon > 0$ there exists a sequence $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset B(X)$ such that (7) is satisfied.

In order to restate these results in terms of introduced indices let us define $R \subset S(l_1)$ as a set of all vectors of the form

$$(a_1, \ldots, a_n, -a_{n+1}, \ldots, -a_m, 0, \ldots),$$

where $n < m$ are arbitrary natural numbers and numbers a_i $(i = 1, \ldots, m)$ are such that $a_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1/2$; $\sum_{i=n+1}^m a_i = 1/2$.

It is easy to see that the formulated above characterization of reflexivity can be restated as following:

A Banach space X is reflexive if and only if $h(X, R) = 0$. If X is nonreflexive, then $h(X, R) \geq 1/2$.

6.20. Example. Let α be some uncountable cardinal. M. G. Krein, M. A. Krasnoselskii and D. P. Milman [KKM, p. 98] proved that the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $\mathrm{dens} X \geq \alpha$.

(b) For some $\varepsilon > 0$ the unit ball $B(X)$ contains a subset of cardinality α such that the distance between each two elements of this subset is not less than ε.

(c) For every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ the unit ball $B(X)$ contains a subset of cardinality α such that the distance between each two elements of this subset is not less than ε.

This result also may be reformulated in terms of introduced indices. Let set Γ be such that $\mathrm{card}\Gamma = \alpha$. Let us denote by $D(\alpha)$ the subset of $S(l_1(\Gamma))$ consisting of all vectors with two-point support for which one of the values is $1/2$ and the other is $(-1/2)$. It is easy to see that the mentioned characterization of spaces with density character not less than α can be reformulated in the following way.

The density character of a Banach space X is less than α if and only if $h(X, D(\alpha)) = 0$. If $\mathrm{dens}X \geq \alpha$, then $h(x, D(\alpha)) \geq 1/2$.

6.21. Proposition. *Let X be a Banach space, $Y, Z \in G(X)$. Then for every set Γ and every $A \subset S(l_1(\Gamma))$ the following inequalities take place:*

$$\Lambda_0(Y, Z) \geq h(Y, A) - h(Z, A) \tag{8}$$

$$\Theta_0(Y, Z)(1 + h(Z, A)) \geq h(Y, A) - h(Z, A) \tag{9}$$

Proof of (8). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Let vector $y \in S(l_\infty(\Gamma, Y))$ be such that $\inf_{a\in A} ||a(y)|| \geq h(Y, A) - \varepsilon$. Using definition of Λ_0 we find $z \in B(l_\infty(\Gamma, Z))$ such that

$$(\forall\gamma \in \Gamma)(||z(\gamma) - y(\gamma)|| < \Lambda_0(Y, Z) + \varepsilon).$$

Therefore $\inf_{a\in A} ||a(z)|| \geq h(Y, A) - \Lambda_0(Y, Z) - 2\varepsilon$. Hence $h(Z, A) \geq h(Y, A) - \Lambda_0(Y, Z)$.

Proof of (9). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Let vector $y \in S(l_\infty(\Gamma, Y))$ be such that $\inf_{a\in A} ||a(y)|| \geq h(Y, A) - \varepsilon$. Using definition of Θ_0 we find $z \in l_\infty(\Gamma, Z)$ such that

$$(\forall\gamma \in \Gamma)(||z(\gamma) - y(\gamma)|| < \Theta_0(Y, Z) + \varepsilon).$$

Therefore

$$\inf_{a\in A} ||a(z)|| \geq h(Y, A) - \Theta_0(Y, Z) - 2\varepsilon.$$

On the other hand

$$||z|| \leq \sup_\gamma ||y(\gamma)|| + \Theta_0(Y, Z) + \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$h(Z, A) \geq (h(Y, A) - \Theta_0(Y, Z))/(1 + \Theta_0(Y, Z))$$

It is easy to see that this inequality is equivalent to (9). The proposition is proved.

Applying this proposition to examples 6.19 and 6.20 we obtain the following consequences.

6.22. Corollary. *Let P be a class of reflexive spaces. Then P is extendedly stable and $es(P) \geq 1/2$.*

6.23. Corollary. *Let α be uncountable ordinal and let P be a class of Banach spaces whose density character is less than α. Then P is extendedly stable and $es(P) \geq 1/2$.*

6.24. It turns out that many known isomorphic invariants can be described in the same manner as properties in examples 6.19 and 6.20. In this connection it is natural to introduce the following definition.

Definition. Class P of Banach spaces is said to be l_1-*property*, if there exist a set Γ and a subset $A \subset S(l_1(\Gamma))$ such that for some positive $\delta > 0$ the following assertions are equivalent:

(a) $X \notin P$.

(b) $h(X, A) > 0$.

(c) $h(X, A) \geq \delta$.

By Proposition 6.21 every l_1-property is extendedly stable and extended stability exponent is not less than δ.

6.25. Definition. Let P be an l_1-property. The supremum of those δ for which there exist a set Γ and a subset $A \subset S(l_1(\Gamma))$ such that the conditions (a), (b) and (c) of definition 6.24 are satisfied is called the l_1-*exponent* of P and is denoted by $e_1(P)$.

It is clear that $es(P) \geq e_1(P)$.

6.26. It seems that $e_1(P)$ can be less than $es(P)$(see e.g. example 6.27.4 below). But now I haven't proof of this assertion.

6.27. Here is the list of known l_1-properties and the estimates for their l_1-exponents and extended stability exponents.

6.27.1. Reflexivity. By 6.19 it follows that reflexivity is an l_1-property and that its l_1-exponent is not less than $1/2$. The precise values of the l_1-exponent and the extended stability exponent of reflexivity seems to be unknown.

6.27.2. Let α be an uncountable cardinal. The class of all Banach spaces, whose density character is less than α is an l_1-property by the result of M. G. Krein, M. A. Krasnoselskii and D. P. Milman mentioned in 6.20, and its l_1-exponent is not less than $1/2$. The l_1-exponents and the extended stability exponents of those classes for which α is not greater than the cardinality of continuum are equal to $1/2$ (see 6.43). For those α which are greater than the cardinality of continuum the values of l_1-exponents and extended stability exponents seem to be unknown.

6.27.3. The class of all finite dimensional spaces. One can show that this class is an l_1-property by consideration of the subset $A \subset S(l_1)$ consisting of all vectors with two-point support for which one of the values is $1/2$ and the other is (-1/2). Using this set it is not hard to verify that the l_1-exponent of the class of all finite dimensional spaces is not less than $1/2$. Its precise value seems to be unknown. On the other hand by Theorem 3.4(e) the extended stability exponent of this class equals 1.

6.27.4. Let $n \in \mathbf{N}$. The class of all finite dimensional Banach spaces, whose dimension is not greater than n is an l_1-property. It can be shown by consideration of $A = S(l_1^{n+1})$. Using notion of Auerbach system (see [LT1, p. 16]) it can be shown that the l_1-exponent of the class of spaces whose dimension is not greater than n, is not less than $1/(n+1)$. It is known that this estimate is not precise. This assertion can be derived, for example, from the results on the estimates of Banach-Mazur distances between l_1^n and arbitrary n-dimensional space (see [Sz] and [SzT]). Even the order (with respect to n) of these l_1-exponents seems to be unknown. By Theorem 3.4(e) the extended stability exponents of these classes are equal to 1.

6.27.5. B-convexity.

Definition. A Banach space X is said to be B-*convex* if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \inf\{d(X_n, l_1^n) : X_n \text{is an } n-\text{dimensional subspace of } X\} = \infty.$$

It was shown by D.P.Giesy [Gi] (Lemmas I.4 and I.6), see also [LT2, p. 62], that for every non-B-convex Banach space X, every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subspace $X_n \subset X$ such that $d(X_n, l_1^n) < 1 + \varepsilon$.

Let $A \subset S(l_1)$ be the set of all sequences, which for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$ have the following form:

$$(0, \ldots, 0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, 0, \ldots),$$

where a_1 is preceded by $n(n-1)/2$ zeros.

It is clear that the mentioned result due to Giesy can be reformulated in the following way.

Let X be a Banach space. The following three assertions are equivalent.

(a) X is non-B-convex.

(b) $h(X, A) > 0$.

(c) $h(X, A) = 1$.

Hence B-convexity is an l_1-property and its l_1-exponent and its extended stability exponent are equal to 1.

6.27.6. Super-reflexivity.

Definition. A Banach space X is said to be *finitely representable* in a Banach space Y if for each finite dimensional subspace X_n of X and each positive number ε there exists a subspace Y_n of Y such that $d(X_n, Y_n) < 1 + \varepsilon$. A Banach space X is said to be *super-reflexive* if every Banach space which is finitely representable in X is reflexive.

The class of super-reflexive spaces has many equivalent descriptions. For our purposes the most interesting is the following one (see [Be2, p. 236, 237, 270]).

For a Banach space X the following properties are equivalent.

(a) X is not super-reflexive.

(b) For some $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there is a sequence $x_1^n, \ldots, x_n^n$ in the unit ball of X such that for every $k \in \mathbf{N}, 1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$\operatorname{dist}(\operatorname{conv}(x_1^n, \ldots, x_k^n), \operatorname{conv}(x_{k+1}^n, \ldots, x_n^n)) > \varepsilon. \tag{10}$$

(c) For every $0 < \varepsilon < 2$ and every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ there is a sequence $x_1^n, \ldots, x_n^n$ in the unit ball of X such that inequality (10) is satisfied for every $k \in \mathbf{N}, 1 \leq k \leq n$.

Let $A \subset S(l_1)$ be the set of all sequences, which for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$ have the following form:

$$(0, \ldots, 0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, 0, \ldots),$$

where for some $k \in \mathbf{N},\ 1 \leq k \leq n$, we have $a_i \leq 0$ for $i \leq k$ and $a_i \geq 0$ for $i \geq k+1$,; $\sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i = -1/2$ and a_1 is preceded by $n(n-1)/2$ zeros.

It is clear that the mentioned characterization of super-reflexivity can be reformulated in the following way.

Let X be a Banach space. The following three assertions are equivalent.

(a) X is not super-reflexive.

(b) $h(X, A) > 0$.

(c) $h(X, A) = 1$.

Hence super-reflexivity is an l_1-property and its l_1-exponent and its extended stability exponent are equal to 1.

6.27.7. Class of spaces which do not contain isomorphic copies of l_1. R.C.James [J2] (see also [LT1, p. 97]) proved that if a Banach space X contains a subspace isomorphic to l_1, then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a subspace $Y \subset X$ for which

$$d(Y, l_1) < 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Let A be the set of all finitely non-zero vectors from $S(l_1)$. It is clear that mentioned result due to James can be reformulated in the following way.

Let X be a Banach space. The following three assertions are equivalent.

(a) X contains an isomorphic copy of l_1.

(b) $h(X, A) > 0$.

(c) $h(X, A) = 1$.

Hence the class of spaces which do not contain isomorphic copies of l_1 is an l_1-property and its l_1-exponent and its extended stability exponent are equal to 1.

6.27.8. Alternate-signs Banach-Saks property.

Definition. A Banach space X is said to have *alternate-signs Banach-Saks property* (ABS) if every bounded sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X$ contains a subsequence $\{x_{n(i)}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that the alternate-signs Cesaro means $n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k x_{n(k)}$ are convergent in the strong topology.

B.Beauzamy [Be1, p. 362] (see also [BL]) obtained the following characterization of ABS. Let X be a Banach space. Then the following assertions are equivalent.

(a) $X \notin ABS$.

(b) There exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset B(X)$ such that for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, if $k \leq n(1) \leq \ldots \leq n(k)$ $(n(i) \in \mathbf{N})$, then for all scalars $c_1, \ldots, c_k$,

$$|| \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i x_{n(i)} || \geq \varepsilon (\sum_{i=1}^{k} |c_i|) \tag{11}$$

(c) For every $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\} \subset B(X)$ such that for all $k \in \mathbf{N}$, if $k \leq n(1) \leq \ldots \leq n(k)$ $(n(i) \in \mathbf{N})$, then for all scalars $c_1, \ldots, c_k$, inequality (11) is satisfied.

Let $A \subset S(l_1)$ be the set of all finitely non-zero vectors, for which the least element of support is not less than its cardinality. It is clear that the mentioned result due to Beauzamy can be reformulated in the following way.

Let X be a Banach space. The following three assertions are equivalent.

(a) $X \notin ABS$.

(b) $h(X, A) > 0$.

(c) $h(X, A) = 1$.

Hence ABS is an l_1-property and its l_1-exponent and its extended stability exponent are equal to 1.

6.27.9. Banach-Saks property.

Definition. A Banach space X is said to have *Banach-Saks property* (BS) if every bounded sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset X$ contains a subsequence $\{x_{n(i)}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ such that the Cesaro means $n^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} x_{n(k)}$ are convergent in the strong topology.

Let $A \subset S(l_1)$ be the set consisting of all finitely non-zero vectors satisfying the following conditions. If $\mathrm{supp} a = \{n(1), \ldots, n(k)\}$ and $n(1) \leq \ldots \leq n(k)$, then:

(a) $k \le n(1)$.

(b) There exists $j \le k$ such that $a_{n(1)}, \dots, a_{n(j)} \ge 0$; $a_{n(j+1)}, \dots, a_{n(k)} \le 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{j} a_{n(i)} = -\sum_{i=j+1}^{k} a_{n(i)} = 1/2$.

Using the descriptions of reflexivity (6.19), ABS (6.27.8) it is not hard to prove that the following statements are equivalent.

(a) $X \notin BS$.

(b) $h(X, A) > 0$.

(c) $h(X, A) \ge 1/2$.

Hence BS is an l_1-property and its l_1-exponent and its extended stability exponent are not less than $1/2$. Their precise values seem to be unknown.

6.28. The list of l_1-properties presented above is by no means complete. Later (6.38) we shall describe methods of constructing new l_1-properties from the known ones.

It should be mentioned that every two l_1-properties from 6.27 are different. Some of the corresponding verifications are quite nontrivial (see books [Be2], [BL], [Du] and references in 6.40).

6.29. Now we shall describe two ways of obtention new stable properties from the known ones.

Let P be some property of Banach spaces. The class of all Banach spaces for which $X^{**}/X \in P$ is denoted by P^{co}. J. Alvarez, T. Alvarez and M. Gonzalez [AAG] proved the following general result.

Theorem. *If property P is extendedly stable then P^{co} is also extendedly stable and $es(P^{co}) \ge es(P)/2$. The analogous statement is valid for stable properties. If property P is an isomorphic invariant and is open then P^{co} is also open.*

In order to prove this theorem we need the following result due to M. Valdivia. (Having in mind further applications of it we shall prove it in slightly more general form than is needed now.)

6.30. Proposition. *Let C be a convex set in a Banach space X and let $y^{**} \in w^* - cl(C) \subset X^{**}$ and $||y^{**} - x|| < 1$ for some $x \in X$. Then there exists $y \in C$ such that $||y^{**} - y|| < 2$.*

Proof. Let real number δ be such that $||y^{**} - x|| \le \delta < 1$, i.e. $y^{**} - x \in \delta B(X^{**})$. Then $x = (x - y^{**}) + y^{**} \in \delta B(X^{**}) + w^* - cl(C) \subset w^* - cl(\delta B(X) + C)$. Hence $x \in w - cl(\delta B(X) + C)$. Since $\delta B(X) + C$ is convex, then we can find $u \in \delta B(X)$ and $y \in C$ such that $||x - (u + y)|| < 1 - \delta$. Then $||y - x|| < ||u|| + 1 - \delta \le 1$. Hence $||y^{**} - y|| \le ||y^{**} - x|| + ||x - y|| < 2$. Proposition is proved.

6.31. Let X be arbitrary Banach space and $Y \in G(X)$. Let us denote by Q the quotient mapping $Q : X^{**} \to X^{**}/X$. Applying Proposition 6.30 to $C = Y$ we obtain the following statement.

Corollary. *The subspace $Q(Y^{\perp\perp})$ belongs to $G(X^{**}/X)$ and is isomorphic to Y^{**}/Y.*

6.32. Each of the statements of Theorem 6.29 follows from the comparison of corollary 6.31 and the following lemma. (We use notation of 6.31).

Lemma. *For arbitrary Banach space X and arbitrary subspaces $Y, Z \in G(X)$ we have $\Theta_0(Q(Z^{\perp\perp}), Q(Y^{\perp\perp})) \le 2\Theta_0(Z, Y)$.*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Let $\xi \in S(Q(Z^{\perp\perp}))$. Then we can find $z^{**} \in Z^{\perp\perp}$ and $x \in X$ such that $Qz^{**} = \xi$ and $||z^{**} - x|| < 1 + \varepsilon$. By Proposition 6.30 we can find

$z \in Z$ such that $||z^{**} - z|| < 2(1+\varepsilon)$. Since $\Theta_0(Z^{\perp\perp}, Y^{\perp\perp}) = \Theta_0(Z,Y)$ (by Theorem 3.4(d)), then we can find $y^{**} \in Y^{\perp\perp}$ such that $||z^{**} - z - y^{**}|| < 2(1+\varepsilon)\Theta_0(Z,Y)$. Hence $||\xi - Qy^{**}|| < 2(1+\varepsilon)\Theta_0(Z,Y)$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, we obtain the desired inequality. Lemma is proved.

6.33. Definition. Let P be a property of Banach spaces. Class Q is said to be the *preproperty* of P (Notation: Q=pre(P)) if

$$(X \in Q) \Leftrightarrow (X^* \in P).$$

6.34. Proposition. *If P is stable property then* pre$P$ *is also stable. If P is extendedly stable property then* pre$P$ *is also extendedly stable. Moreover we have* $s(\text{pre}(P)) \geq s(P)$ *and* $es(\text{pre}(P)) \geq es(P)$.

Proof. Let X be a Banach space and let $Y, Z \in G(X)$ be such that $Y \in$pre(P) and $\Theta_0(Z,Y) < es(P)$. Let us prove that $Z \in$pre(P). In order to do that let us consider space $X_1 = X \oplus_2 Y$ and let $Y_t (t > 0)$ be the following family of subspaces:

$$Y_t = \{(y, ty) : y \in Y\}.$$

It is clear that every Y_t is isomorphic (even isometric) to Y and $\lim_{t\to 0} \Theta(Y, Y_t) = 0$. Therefore choosing t small enough we obtain $\Theta_0(Z, Y_t) < es(P)$. On the other hand we have $Z \cap Y_t = 0$ and $\delta(Z, Y_t) > 0$. Let $X_2 = \text{lin}(Z \cup Y_t)$. By remark from 5.3 X_2 is a closed subspace of X and Y_t is a complemented subspace of it. So we have:

(a) $Y_t^\perp$ is isomorphic to Z^*.

(b) $Z^\perp$ is isomorphic to $(Y_t)^*$.

By duality formula (Theorem 3.4(d)) we have

(c) $\Theta_0(Y_t^\perp, Z^\perp) < es(P)$.

Since $(Y_t)^* \in P$ and P is isomorphic invariant by Proposition 6.7, we obtain that $Z^* \in P$. So $Z \in$pre(P). Proposition is proved.

6.35. Remark. *Analogous statement can be proved for open properties which are isomorphic invariants. But the known proof of this statement is rather long (see 7.12).*

6.36. The following conjecture seems to be excessively audacious but at the moment I don't no counterexamples.

Conjecture. *For every cardinal α the intersection of every extendedly stable property with the set of Banach spaces with density character less than α is an l_1-property.*

We need to consider intersections here in order to avoid such trivial counterexamples as the class of all Banach spaces.

It should be noted that stable properties need not be l_1-properties. (It follows because the complement of stable property is stable and the complement of l_1-property is not an l_1-property.)

6.37. In [O9] the present author obtained several results in support of this conjecture. In this connection the following definition is useful.

Definition. Class P of Banach spaces is called a *regular l_1-property* if there exist a real number $\delta > 0$, set Γ and a subset $A \subset S(l_1(\Gamma))$ satisfying the conditions:

1. The set A consists of finitely non-zero vectors.
2. If $a_0 \in A$, then A contains all vectors $a \in S(l_1(\Gamma))$ for which

$$(\forall \gamma \in \Gamma)(\text{sign} a_0(\gamma) = \text{sign} a(\gamma)).$$

3. For a Banach space X the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) $X \notin P$.

(b) $h(X, A) > 0$.

(c) $h(X, A) \geq \delta$.

Supremum of those $\delta > 0$ for which there exist Γ and $A \subset S(l_1(\Gamma))$ such that conditions 1–3 are satisfied is called the *regular exponent* of P.

6.38. In [O9] the following results were proved.

(a) Properties listed in 6.27 are regular l_1-properties.

(b) The union of every set of regular l_1-properties with uniformly bounded away from zero regular exponents is a regular l_1-property.

(c) If P is regular l_1-property then $\mathrm{pre}(P)$ and P^{co} are regular l_1-properties.

I do not know examples of l_1-properties which are not regular. In particular I do not know whether any intersection of regular l_1-properties with uniformly bounded away from zero regular l_1-exponents is a regular l_1-property.

Notes and remarks

6.39. Let us give some historical comments.

Proposition 6.1 and the part of Proposition 6.2 which concerns the operator opening seems to be new. The second part of proposition 6.2 is taken from [O1]. It was also announced in [Fr]. Observation 6.3 is due to A.Douady [D]. Construction of 6.14 is a straightforward generalization of M.I.Kadets' construction [Kad2]. Approach of 6.17 was introduced by the author [O2], [O3], [O4]. Proposition 6.21 is taken from [O4] (see also [O3]).

Corollary 6.22 is a slight strengthening of the following result due to A.L.Brown [Br1]: Class of reflexive spaces is stable with the stability exponent no less than $\sqrt{2}-1$.

Corollary 6.23 was proved in [KKM].

The main idea of the description of super-reflexivity, which was mentioned in 6.27.6 is due to R.C.James [J2]. Nessesary additions was made in [J3], [SS] and [JS]. Many other result on super-reflexive spaces can be found in [Be2] and [Du].

Papers [AAG] and [Ja2] contains somewhat weaker versions of Proposition 6.34 with more complicated proofs. The present proof is due to the author.

It turns out that the paper [O6] which is relevant to the topic of this chapter is "almost free" of new results and is only of historical interest. This paper contains proofs of Proposition 6.11 and of stability of quasireflexivity.

6.40. I would like to add the following information concerning the statement of 6.28 about the distinction between l_1-properties. The direct sum $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \oplus l_1^n)_2$ is an example of reflexive non-B-convex space.

Examples of non-reflexive and hence non-super-reflexive B-convex spaces was constructed by R.C.James [J4], see also [JL].

It is known [BrS] that $c_0 \in ABS$. Hence ABS does not imply any of the properties: reflexivity, B-convexity, super-reflexivity, BS.

A.Baernstein [Bae] constructed reflexive space without BS.

6.41. In connection with definition 6.18 and Proposition 6.21 it is natural to propose the problem of description of the sets

$$\sigma(A) = \{h(X, A) : \ X \text{ running through the class of all Banach spaces}\}$$

for different sets $A \subset S(l_1(\Gamma))$ and to calculate $h(X, A)$ for classical Banach spaces.

In addition to results mentioned in 6.19, 6.20 and 6.27 I know results of the mentioned type only for the set $A \subset S(l_1)$ described in 6.27.3 and its uncountable analogues mentioned in 6.27.2. It is known that $h(l_p, A) = 2^{1/p}/2$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ [BRR] (see also [Ko1] and [WW], p. 91). The book [WW] contains also results on evaluation of $h(L_p(\mu), A)$, where μ is arbitrary measure.

In addition, J.Elton and E.Odell [EO] (see also [Di, p. 241]) proved that $h(X, A) > 1/2$ for every infinite dimensional Banach space X. Hence in this case $\sigma(A) = \{0\} \cup (1/2, 1]$.

For uncountable analogues of A (see 6.27.2) there exist spaces for which the value of index h is $1/2$ (see [EO]). So in this case the set of possible values of index h is $\{0\} \cup [1/2, 1]$.

Some results closely connected with mentioned above are contained in [Ba] and [Ko2].

6.42. M. G. Krein, M. A. Krasnoselskii and D. P. Milman [KKM, p. 104] asked the following question: does inequality $\Theta(Y, Z) < 1$ imply that dens$Y$ =dens$Z$? This question was solved in negative in [O1], where a Banach space X was constructed such that for certain subspaces $Y, Z \in G(X)$ we have $\Lambda(Y, Z) \leq 2\sqrt{2} - 2$, Z is separable and dens$Y$ equals to the power of continuum. Here we reproduce this example. Let $a = \sqrt{2} - 1$. Consider the algebraic sum

$$X = c_0([0, 1]) \oplus (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus C(0, 1))_1 \oplus (\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \oplus C(0, 1))_1.$$

We endow it with the norm:

$$||(h_0, (h_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}, (g_j)_{j=1}^{\infty})|| =$$

$$\max(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} ||h_i - (1/2)g_{i+1}||,\ \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} ||g_j - (1/2)h_j||),$$

where all the norms on the right-hand side are suprema of the modulus on [0,1]. It is clear that the space

$$Y = \{(h_0, (h_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}, (0)_{j=1}^{\infty})\}$$

is isometric to $c_0([0, 1]) \oplus_1 (\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \oplus C(0, 1))_1$ and the space

$$Z = \{(0, (0)_{i=1}^{\infty}, (g_j)_{j=1}^{\infty})\}$$

is isometric to $(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \oplus C(0, 1))_1$. It is also clear that Z is separable and dens$Y$ equals to the power of continuum. Let us estimate $\Lambda(Y, Z)$. To do this it suffices to estimate $\mathrm{dist}(y_i, B(Z))(i \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\})$ and $\mathrm{dist}(z_j, B(Y))(j \in \mathbf{N})$ for vectors $y_i \in S(Y)$ of the form

$$y_0 = (h_0, (0)_{i=1}^{\infty}, (0)_{j=1}^{\infty}) \text{ and } y_i = (0, (0, \ldots, 0, h_i, 0, \ldots), (0)_{j=1}^{\infty}),$$

where h_i is at the i-th position, and

$$z_j = (0, (0)_{i=1}^{\infty}, (0, \ldots, 0, g_j, 0, \ldots)),$$

where g_j is at the j-th position. This is done in the same manner for all such vectors except for vectors of the form y_0.

We verify the estimate for y_1. Consider the vector

$$f = (0, (0)_{i=1}^{\infty}, (0, ah_1, 0, \ldots)) \in B(Z).$$

We have

$$\operatorname{dist}(y_1, B(Z)) \le ||y_1 + f|| = \max\{||(1-a^2)h_1||, ||ah_1|| + ||ah_1||\} =$$

$$\max\{(1-a^2), 2a\} = 2\sqrt{2} - 2.$$

Let us now verify the estimate for y_0. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. We introduce the set $A = \{x\colon\ h_0(x) \ge \varepsilon\}$. This set is finite. Let the function $w_\varepsilon : A \to \mathbf{R}$ be defined as $w_\varepsilon(x) = h_0(x)$ and extend it as a continuous function to $[0,1]$ in such a way that $\sup\{\ w_\varepsilon(x) : x \in [0,1]\} = 1$. Then for every $b \in [0,1]$ we have

$$||h_0 - bw_\varepsilon|| = \max\{1-b, b+\varepsilon\} \tag{12}$$

Let $z = (0, (0)_{i=1}^{\infty}, (aw_a, 0, \ldots))$. We have $||z|| \le a$ and $\operatorname{dist}(y_0, B(Z)) \le ||y_0 + z|| = \max\{||h_0 - a^2 w_a||, ||aw_a||\} = \max\{1-a^2, a^2+a, a\} = 2\sqrt{2} - 2$.

6.43. Remark. *Inequality (12) implies that for subspaces* $c_0([0,1]) \subset l_\infty([0,1])$ *and* $C(0,1) \subset l_\infty([0,1])$ *we have*

$$\Lambda_0(c_0([0,1]), C(0,1)) = 1/2. \tag{13}$$

Therefore the estimates of extended stability exponents in 6.27.2 are precise when α is not greater then the cardinality of continuum.

Equality (13) was independently noticed by A.N.Plichko, who used it in the theory of biorthogonal systems [Pl].

6.44. The author proved [O1] that if Y and Z are subspaces of a Banach space with an extended unconditionally monotone basis (see definition in [S2], §17), then $\Theta(Y,Z) < 1$ implies dens$Y$=dens$Z$.

V.I.Gurarii [Gu2] proved that if we suppose that X is uniformly convex (=uniformly rotund) and δ is its modulus of convexity (see necessary definitions in [Da] or [Di]), then $\Theta(Y,Z) < 1/2 + \delta(1/7)/2$ implies dens$Y$=dens$Z$.

6.45. The examples from 6.42 has predecessor (see [Le] and [S3, p. 271]).

6.46. Starting from [KKM] the following dual version of the problem to which the present section is devoted is considered: How close should be the structure of the quotients over the subspaces which are close with respect to the geometric opening?

Definition. A property P is called *co-open* if for every Banach space X the set of those $Y \in G(X)$ for which $X/Y \in P$ is open in the topology induced by Θ.

A property P is called *costable* if there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that for every Banach space X and every $Y, Z \in G(X)$, if $X/Y \in P$ and $\Theta(Y,Z) < \alpha$, then $X/Z \in P$. The least upper bound of numbers α for which this statement is true is denoted by $c(P)$.

A property P is called *extendedly costable* if there exists a number $\alpha > 0$ such that for every Banach space X and every $Y, Z \in G(X)$, if $X/Y \in P$ and $\Theta_0(Y,Z) < \alpha$, then $X/Z \in P$. The least upper bound of numbers α for which this statement is true is denoted by $ec(P)$.

It turns out that the concept of costability coincides with the concept of stability and the concept of extended costability coincides with the concept of extended stability. Moreover, we have $s(P) = c(P)$ and $es(P) = ec(P)$ for every property P. This assertion can be proved using arguments of Proposition 6.34 and "co-analogue" of Proposition 6.2. Somewhat weakened version of this statement was proved by J. Alvarez, T. Alvarez and M. Gonzalez [AAG]. Some other predecessors of this result can be found in [O2].

If we restrict ourselves by consideration of isomorphic invariants then the concept of open property would coincide with the concept of co-open property. The known proof of this result is rather complicated (see [Ja2]).

6.47. Proposition 6.11 (a) implies that if property P is (a) open; (b) isomorphic invariant; (c) closed with respect to formation of direct sums; then the solution of the three space problem for P is positive. In particular it is so for stable properties which are closed with respect to formation of direct sums. Here it should be noted that the three space problems for properties listed in 6.27 (with obvious exception of 6.27.4) were already solved. Here is the list of corresponding references. Reflexivity: [KS, p. 575]; B-convexity [Gi, p. 103] (see also [ELP], [R]); super-reflexivity: [ELP] (see also [H, p. 86], [R], [Sc]), class of spaces, which do not contain isomorphic copies of l_1: [R] (see also [AGO]), alternate-signs Banach-Saks property: [O3]; Banach-Saks property: [GR] (see also [O3]).

6.48. The papers [Ja2], [O1], [O3] (see also [O6]) deals with the following question: for what Banach spaces Z classes $P_Z = \{X : X \text{ is isomorphic to } Z\}$ are open and what is the least upper bound of $\alpha > 0$ for which the assertion of Proposition 6.6 is true if we let $P = P_Z$ and $Y = Z$? The most interesting problem seems to be the following: is it true that every Z for which P_Z is open is either injective or isomorphic to c_0 or $l_1(\Gamma)$? The fact that P_Z is open when Z is injective can be easily derived from the Proposition 5.3.

6.49. There is another survey devoted to the same topic as section 6 of the present survey. It is the survey due to J. Alvarez and T. Alvarez [AA]. Unfortunately because of certain confusion in different concepts of stability, some statements of this survey (Theorem 12, Observation 15) are incorrect.

6.50. I am sure that the results and the methods of papers [DJL], [DL], [Fa], [J5] and [PX] will be useful in further investigations of l_1-properties.

7. Additional remarks on the topologies on the set of all subspaces of a Banach space and their applications

7.1. Basic facts about geometric opening are discussed in well-known course of I.M.Glazman and Yu.I.Lyubich [GL].

7.2. Openings found applications in the theory of best approximation in Banach spaces. Recall that a subspace Y of a Banach space X is called a *Chebyshev subspace* if for every $x \in X$ there exists unique $y \in Y$ such that $||x - y||=\text{dist}(x, Y)$. Subspace Y is called *almost-Chebyshev* if the condition above is satisfied for all x except some set of the first category. It is known [Ga] that c_0 does not contain almost- Chebyshev proper infinite dimensional subspaces. A.L.Garkavi [Ga] proved that if a separable Banach space X contains a reflexive infinite dimensional subspace then it contains an almost-Chebyshev proper infinite dimensional subspace. He also proved that a separa-

ble dual Banach space contains almost-Chebyshev proper infinite dimensional subspace. The proof relies heavily on Baire category argument for metric space $(G(X), d_{op})$. Using Corollary 6.22, A.L.Brown [Br1] showed that the first result can be proved using category argument for the metric space $(G(X), d_g)$.

Some other applications of openings in the theory of best approximation can be found in [S3].

7.3. V.P.Fonf [Fo] used geometric opening in his investigations of polyhedral Banach spaces. Recall that a Banach space is called *polyhedral* if the unit ball of each of its finite dimensional subspaces is a polytope. V.P.Fonf [Fo] proved that every infinite dimensional polyhedral Banach space contains an isomorphic copy of c_0.

7.4. The topology induced by the opening on the set of all subspaces of a Hilbert space was used by R.G.Douglas and C.M.Pearcy [DP] in investigations of the lattice of invariant subspaces of bounded linear operators in Hilbert space.

7.5. Theorem 3.4 (e) has many applications in constructions of "nicely bounded" biorthogonal systems (see [Da, p. 93], [Pel3], [Te]).

7.6. Geometric opening is a natural tool in some questions of the theory of bases (see [S1], [S2]).

7.7. The topology on $G(X)$ induced by the geometric opening appeared in a natural way in investigations of infinite dimensional analytic manifolds [D], operator function equations [Ja1], [KT], [Man], [Sl].

7.8. The geometric opening and quantity Θ_0 turned out to be important tool in the creation of the theory of Fredholm operators in Banach spaces [GK], [K1]. They are repeatedly used in the theory of operators in Banach spaces (see, in particular, [AZ], [CPY], [GM2], [Go], [GoM], [MeS], [Sob], [Va2], [Va3]), Fredholm and semi-Fredholm complexes of Banach spaces (see [AV], [A], [Do], [F], [FS], [Va1]).

7.9. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. If we have a topology on $G(X \oplus Y)$ then, considering graphs we obtain a topology on the set of all closed linear operators with domains in X into Y. The topology obtained in such a way from the topology induced by the geometric opening turned out to be very useful. Many important characteristics of closed linear operators are stable with respect to this topology. This circle of problems was investigated by J.D.Newburgh [New1], [New2], H.O.Cordes and J.-P.Labrousse [CL], G.Neubauer [N1], [N2], T.Kato [K2], J.-P.Labrousse [L1], [L2]. Some of these results are presented in the book due to K.R.Partasarathy [Par]. If we restrict ourselves by consideration of self-conjugate operators in a Hilbert space then this topology coincides with the topology of uniform resolvent convergence (see [RS], chapter VIII).

It should be mentioned that some "unbounded" analogues of known results fails for this topology (see [L3] and forthcoming book due to J.-P.Labrousse). In the case of unbounded closed densely defined operators in Hilbert space the situation sometimes can be saved by the use of another metric (see [L3], [LM] and forthcoming book due to J.-P.Labrousse). This metric goes back to C.Davis [Dav]. (This metric is defined only on the subset of $G(H \oplus H)$ consisting of graphs of closed densely defined operators on the separable Hilbert space H.)

7.10. Let X, Y be Banach spaces and $T \in L(X, Y)$. The *minimum modulus* of T is

defined by

$$\gamma(T) = \sup\{c \geq 0 : (\forall x \in X)(||Tx|| \geq c \cdot \mathrm{dist}(x, \ker T))\}.$$

(We refer to [K2] for basic properties of γ).

A.S.Markus [M1] proved the following result.

Theorem. *Let $T, S \in L(X, Y)$. Then*

(a) $\Theta_0(\ker S, \ker T) \leq \gamma(T)^{-1}||S - T||$.

(b) $\Theta_0(\mathrm{im} S, \mathrm{im} T) \leq \gamma(S)^{-1}||S - T||$.

(c) *If* $\Theta(\ker S, \ker T) < 1/2$, *then*

$$|\gamma(S) - \gamma(T)| \leq 3||S - T||(1 - 2\Theta(\ker S, \ker T))^{-1}.$$

(d) *If* $\Theta(\mathrm{im} S, \mathrm{im} T) < 1/2$, *then*

$$|\gamma(S) - \gamma(T)| \leq 3||S - T||(1 - 2\Theta(\mathrm{im} S, \mathrm{im} T))^{-1}.$$

7.11. R.Janz [Ja2] proved the following statement. Let D be a metric space and $T : D \to G(X)$ be a mapping continuous in the topology induced by the geometric opening. Then there exist Banach spaces Z_1 and Z_2 and continuous mappings $R_1 : D \to L(Z_1, X)$ and $R : D \to L(X, Z_2)$ such that for every $d \in D$ we have

(a) $\mathrm{im}(R_1(d)) = T(d)$.

(b) $\ker(R_2(d)) = T(d)$.

(c) $||R_1(d)|| \leq 1,\ ||R_2(d)|| \leq 1$.

(d) $\gamma(R_1) \geq 1/10, \gamma(R_2) \geq 1/10$.

7.12. Applying 7.11 to the identity mapping $T : G(X) \to G(X)$ and using 7.10 it is not hard to prove assertions formulated in 6.35 and 6.46.

7.13. Let X be a linear space with two norms and Y, Z be linear subspaces of X. By $\Theta_1(Y, Z)$ and $\Theta_2(Y, Z)$ we shall denote the geometric openings in the sense of the first and the second norms respectively. (Here we use the fact that the geometric opening is well-defined for non-closed subspaces of non-complete normed spaces.

A.S.Markus [M2] proved the following statement: if for some $c > 0$ and every linear subspaces $Y, Z \subset X$ we have

$$\Theta_1(Y, Z) \geq c\Theta_2(Y, Z),$$

then the norms are equivalent.

7.14. Some properties of the metric space $(G(H), d_g)$, where H is the Hilbert space were considered in [I1], [I2], [Lo1], [Lo2], [Rod].

7.15. H.Porta and L.Recht [PR] proved that for every Banach space X there exists a mapping $\phi : G_c(X) \to G_c(X)$ such that for every $Y \in G(X)$ the space $\phi(Y)$ is a complement of Y and ϕ is continuous with respect to the d_{op}.

REFERENCES

[AG] N.I.Akhiezer and I.M.Glazman, Theory of linear operators in Hilbert space, Moscow, Gostekhizdat, 1950 (in Russian); see also English translation of the third edition of this book: Pitman Press, 1980.

[AV] E.Albrecht and F.-H. Vasilescu, Stability of the index of a semi-Fredholm complex of Banach spaces J. Funct. Anal. 66 (1986), 141–172.

[AA] J.Alvarez and T.Alvarez, Estabilidad de propiedades isomorphas de espacios de Banach respecto del gap, Univ. Cantabria, Serv. de Publ, Santander, 1991, pp. 1–8.

[AAG] J.Alvarez, T.Alvarez and M.Gonzalez, The gap between subspaces and perturbation of non semi-Fredholm operators, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 45 (1992), no. 3, 369–376.

[AGO] T.Alvarez, M.Gonzalez and V.M.Onieva, A note on three-space Banach space ideals, Arch. Math. 46 (1986), 169–170.

[A] C.-G.Ambrozie, Stability of the index of a Fredholm symmetrical pair, J. Operator Theory, 25 (1991), no. 1, 61–77.

[AZ] B.Aupetit and J.Zemanek, Uniformly regular families of commuting operators J. Funct. Anal. 78 (1988), 24–30.

[Bae] A.Baernstein, On reflexivity and summability, Studia Math. 42 (1972), 91–94.

[Ba] K.Ball, Inequalities and sphere-packing in l_p , Israel J. Math., 58 (1987), no. 2, 243–256.

[Be1] B.Beauzamy, Banach-Saks properties and spreading models, Math. Scand., 44 (1979), no. 2, 357–384.

[Be2] B.Beauzamy, Introduction to Banach Spaces and their Geometry, Amsterdam: North-Holland Publishing Company, 1982.

[BL] B.Beauzamy et J.-T. Lapreste, Modeles etales des espaces de Banach, Paris, Hermann, 1983.

[BDGJN] G.Bennett, L.E.Dor, V.Goodman, W.B.Johnson and C.M.Newman, On uncomplemented subspaces of $L_p, 1 < p < 2$, Israel J.Math., 26 (1977), no. 2, 178–187.

[Ber] E.Berkson, Some metrics on the subspaces of a Banach space, Pacif. J. Math., 13 (1963), no. 1, 7–22.

[Bor] K.Borsuk, Drei Satze uber die n-dimensionale euklidishe Sphare, Fund. Math. 20 (1933), 177–190.

[B] J. Bourgain, A counterexample to a complementation problem, Compositio Math., 43 (1981), no. 1, 133–144.

[Br1] A.L.Brown, On the space of subspaces of a Banach space, J. London Math. Soc., 5 (1972), no. 1, 67–73.

[Br2] A.L.Brown, The Borsuk-Ulam theorem and orthogonality in normed linear spaces, Amer. Math. Mon., 86 (1979), no. 9, 766–767.

[BrS] A.Brunel and L.Sucheston, On J-convexity and some ergodic super-properties of Banach spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 204 (1975), 79–90.

[BRR] J.A.C.Burlak, R.A.Rankin and A.P.Robertson, The packing of spheres in the space l_p , Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. 4 (1958), 22–25.

[CPY] S.R.Caradus, W.E.Pfaffenberger and B.Yood, Calkin algebras and algebras of operators on Banach spaces, New York, Marcel Dekker, 1974.

[CG1] J.M.F.Castillo and M.Gonzalez, The Dunford-Pettis property is not a three-space property, preprint.

[CG2] J.M.F.Castillo and M.Gonzalez, Properties (u) and (V) are not three-space, preprint.

[CL] H.O.Cordes and J.P.Labrousse, The invariance of the index in the metric space of closed operators, J. Math. Mech., 12 (1963), 693–719.

[C] H.Corson, The weak topology of a Banach space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 101 (1961), no. 1, 1–15.

[Dav] C.Davis, Separation of two linear subspaces, Acta sci. math., 19 (1958), no. 3–4, 172–187.

[DJL] W.J.Davis, W.B.Johnson and J.Lindenstrauss, The l_1^n-problem and degrees of non-reflexivity, Studia Math. 55 (1976), 123–139.

[DL] W.J.Davis and J.Lindenstrauss, The l_1^n-problem and degrees of non-reflexivity, II, Studia Math. 58 (1976), 179–196.

[Da] M.M.Day, Normed linear spaces, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1973.

[DKL] R.A.DeVore, H.Kierstead and G.G.Lorentz, A proof of Borsuk's theorem, Lect. Notes Math., 1332 (1988), 195–202.

[Di] J.Diestel, Sequences and series in Banach spaces, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1984.

[D] A.Douady, Le probleme des modules pour les sous-espaces d'un espace analytique donne, Ann. Inst. Fourier, 15 (1966), no. 1, 1–94.

[Do] R.Douady, Petites perturbations d'une suite exacte et d'une suite quasi-exacte, Seminaire d'Analyse, Nice, 1965–66, pp. 21–34.

[DP] R.G.Douglas and C.M.Pearcy, On a topology for invariant subspaces, J. Funct. Anal., 2 (1968), 323–341.

[Du] D.van Dulst, Reflexive and superreflexive Banach spaces, Amsterdam, Mathematish Centrum, 1978.

[EO] J.Elton and E.Odell, The unit ball of every infinite- dimensional normed linear space contains a $(1+\varepsilon)$-separated sequence, Colloquium Math., 44 (1981), no. 1, 105–109.

[ELP] P. Enflo, J.Lindenstrauss and G.Pisier, On the "three-space problem", Math. Scand. 36 (1975), 199–210.

[F] A. S. Fainshtein, On the opening between subspaces of a Banach space, manuscript, Baku, 1983 (in Russian).

[FS] A.S.Fainshtein and V.S.Shul'man, Stability of the index of short Fredholm complex of Banach spaces with respect to perturbation, small with respect to measure of non-compactness, Spectral Theory of Operators (Baku), 4 (1982), 189–198 (in Russian).

[Fa] J.E.Farahat, On the problem of k-structure, Israel J. Math. 28 (1977), no. 1–2, 141–150.

[Fo] V.P.Fonf, On polyhedral Banach spaces, Mat. Zametki, 30 (1981), no. 4, 627–634 (in Russian).

[Fr] F.J.Freniche, Una distancia entre espacios de Banach, Actas IX Jornadas Matematicas Hispano-Lusas, Vol. I, Universidad de Salamanca, 1982, pp. 281–283.

[Ga] A.L.Garkavi, On Chebyshev and almost-Chebyshev subspaces, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 28 (1964), no. 4, 799–818 (in Russian).

[Gi] D.P.Giesy, On a convexity condition in normed linear spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1966), no. 1, 114–146.

[GL] I.M.Glazman, Yu.I.Lyubich, Finite dimensional linear analysis, Moscow, Nauka, 1969 (in Russian).

[GR] B.V.Godun and S.A.Rakov, Banach-Saks property and the three space problem, Mat. Zametki, 31 (1982), 61–74 (in Russian).

[GK] I.C.Gohberg and M.G.Krein, The basic propositions on defect numbers, root numbers and indices of linear operators, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 12 (1957), no. 2, 43–118 (in Russian); English translation: Transl. Amer. Math. Soc. (2), 13 (1960), 185–264.

[GM1] I.C.Gohberg and A.S.Markus, Two theorems on the opening between subspaces of a Banach space, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 14 (1959), no. 5, 135–140 (in Russian).

[GM2] I.C.Gohberg and A.S.Markus, Characteristic properties of certain points of the spectrum of bounded linear operators, Izv. VUZ, Ser. Mat. (1960), no. 2, 74–87 (in Russian).

[Go] M.Gonzalez, A perturbation result for generalized Fredholm operators in the boundary of the group of invertible operators, Proc. R. Ir. Acad. 86A (1986), no. 2, 123–126.

[GoM] M.Gonzalez and A.Martinon, Operational quantities derived from the norm and generalized Fredholm theory, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 32 (1991), no. 4, 645–657.

[Gu1] V.I.Gurarii, Openings and inclinations of subspaces of a Banach space, Teor Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 1 (1965), 194–204 (in Russian).

[Gu2] V.I.Gurarii, On uniformly convex and uniformly smooth Banach spaces, Teor. Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 1 (1965), 205–211 (in Russian).

[GuM] V.I.Gurarii and A.S.Markus, On the geometric and operator definitions of the opening between subspaces, Issled. Algebr. Mat. Anal., Kishinev, Kartya Moldovenyaske, 1965, pp. 128–130. Correction: Mat. Issled 2 (1967), no. 1, 169 (in Russian).

[H] S.Heinrich, Ultraproducts in Banach space theory, J. reine und angew. Math., 313 (1980), 72–104.

[I1] S.Izumino, Inequalities on transforms of subspaces of a Hilbert space, Math. Japon., 25 (1980), no. 1, 131–134.

[I2] S.Izumino, Generalized inverses method for subspace maps, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 35 (1983), no. 4, 649–659.

[J1] R.C.James, Weak compactness and reflexivity, Israel J. Math., 2 (1964), 101–119.

[J2] R.C.James, Uniformly non-square Banach spaces, Ann. Math. 80 (1964), no. 3, 542–550.

[J3] R.C.James, Some self-dual properties of normed linear spaces, Sympos. Infinite-Dimensional Topology (Baton Rouge, La, 1967) Ann. of Math. Studies, vol. 69, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1972, pp. 159–175.

[J4] R.C.James A nonreflexive Banach space that is uniformly non-octahedral, Israel J. Math. 18 (1974), 145–155.

[J5] R.C.James, A separable somewhat reflexive Banach space with nonseparable dual, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 80 (1974), no. 4, 738–743.

[JL] R. C. James and J. Lindenstrauss, The octahedral problem for Banach spaces, in:"Proceedings of the seminar on random series, convex sets and geometry of Banach spaces", Aarhus, Denmark, 1974, pp. 100–120.

[JS] R.C.James and J.J.Schäffer, Super-reflexivity and the girth of spheres, Israel J.

Math., 11 (1972), 398–404.

[Ja1] R.Janz, Holomorphic families of subspaces of a Banach space, Special classes of linear operators and other topics (Bucharest, 1986), 155–167, Oper. Theory: Adv. Appl., 28, Birkhauser, Basel-Boston, MA, 1988.

[Ja2] R.Janz, Pertubation of Banach spaces, preprint, Konstanz, 1987.

[JR] W.B.Johnson and H.P.Rosenthal, On w^*-basic sequences and their applications to the study of Banach spaces, Studia Math., 43 (1972), 77–92.

[KT] W.Kaballo and G.Ph.A.Thijsse, On holomorphic operator function equations, Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 2 (1979), no. 2, 244–263.

[Kad1] M.I.Kadets, On the linear dimension of the spaces L_p and l_q , Uspekhi Matem. Nauk, 13 (1958), no. 6, 95–98 (in Russian).

[Kad2] M.I.Kadets, Note on the gap between subspaces, (in Russian) Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen. 9 (1975), no. 2, 73–74; English transl.: Funct. Anal. Appl. 9 (1975), 156–157.

[K1] T.Kato, Perturbation theory for nullity, deficiency and other quantities of linear operators, J. d'Analyse Math. 6 (1958), no. 2, 261–322.

[K2] T.Kato, Perturbation theory for linear operators, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1966.

[KP] N.J.Kalton and N.T.Peck, Twisted sums of sequence spaces and the three space problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 255 (1979), 1–30.

[Ko1] C.A.Kottman, Packing and reflexivity in Banach spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 150 (1970), no. 2, 565–576.

[Ko2] C.A.Kottman, Subsets of the unit ball that are separated by more than one, Studia Math. 53 (1975), 15–27.

[KK] M.G.Krein and M.A.Krasnoselskii, Basic theorems concerning the extension of Hermitian operators and some of their applications to the theory of orthogonal polynomials and the moment problem, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 2 (1947), no. 3, 60–106 (in Russian).

[KKM] M.G.Krein, M.A.Krasnoselskii and D.P.Milman, On the defect numbers of linear operators in a Banach space and on some geometric questions, Sbornik Trudov Inst. Matem. AN Ukrainian SSR, 11 (1948), 97–112 (in Russian).

[KS] M.Krein and V.Smulian, On regularly closed sets in the space conjugate to a Banach space, Ann. Math., 41 (1940), no. 3, 556–583.

[Kw] S.Kwapien, Isomorphic characterizations of inner product spaces by orthogonal series with vector valued coefficients, Studia Math., 44 (1972), no. 6, 583–595.

[L1] J.-P.Labrousse, On a metric space of closed operators on a Hilbert space, Rev. Mat. Fis. Teorica Univ. N. Tucuman (Argentine) XVI (1 et 2) (1966), 45–77.

[L2] J.-P.Labrousse, Quelques topologies sur des espaces d'operateurs dans des espaces de Hilbert et leurs applications, Dept. de Math. Univ. de Nice (1970).

[L3] J.-P.Labrousse, Metric on operator spaces, Lecture given at Conference in Jaca (Spain), September, 1992.

[LM] J.-P.Labrousse and B.Mercier, Extension du theoreme de Brown - Douglas - Fillmore au cas des operateurs non bornes, J. Operator Theory, 24 (1990), 137–154.

[Le] A.Yu.Levin, On a problem of existence of an orthogonal element to a subspace, Proc. Semin. Funct. Anal. (Voronezh) 6 (1958), 91–92 (in Russian).

[LT1] J.Lindenstrauss and L.Tzafriri, Classical Banach spaces, v. I, Sequence spaces, Berlin, Spriger-Verlag, 1977.

[LT2] J.Lindenstrauss and J.Tzafriri, Classical Banach spaces, v. II, Function spaces, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

[Lo1] W.E.Longstaff, A note on transforms of subspaces of Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 76 (1979), no. 2, 268–270.

[Lo2] W.E.Longstaff, Subspace maps of operators on Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 84 (1982), no. 2, 195–201.

[Lu] W.Lusky, A note on Banach spaces containing c_0 and C_∞ , J. Funct. Anal., 62 (1985), no. 1, 1–7.

[Man] F.Mantlik, Differentiable bundles of subspaces and operators in Banach spaces, Studia Math. 98 (1991), 41–51.

[M1] A.S.Markus, On some properties of linear operators connected with the notion of opening, Uchen. Zap. Kishinev Gos. Univ. 39 (1959), 265–272 (in Russian).

[M2] A.S.Markus, On comparability of openings in a normed space, Izv. AN Moldov. SSR (1963), no. 1, 75–76 (in Russian).

[MS1] J.L.Massera and J.J.Schäffer, Linear differential equations and functional analysis, Ann. Math., 67 (1958), no. 3, 517–573.

[MS2] J.L.Massera and J.J.Schäffer, Linear Differential Equations and Function Spaces, New York and London, Academic Press, 1966.

[Ma] B.Maurey, Un theoreme de prolongement, C. r. Acad. Sc. Paris, Ser. A, 279 (1974), no. 9, 329–332.

[MeS] R.Mennicken and B.Sagraloff, On Banach's closed range theorem, Arch. Math. 33 (1979/80), no. 5, 461–465.

[MM] D.P.Milman and V.D.Milman, The geometry of nested families with empty intersection. Structure of the unit sphere of a nonreflexive space, Matem. Sbornik, 66 (1965), no. 1, 109–118 (in Russian). English transl.: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) v. 85 (1969), 233–243.

[MiS] V.D.Milman and G.Schechtman, Asymptotic Theory of Finite Dimensional Normed Spaces, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1986.

[Na] M.A.Naimark, Linear Differential Operators, Moscow, Nauka, 1969 (in Russian).

[N1] G.Neubauer, Über den Index abgeschlossener Operatoren in Banachraümen, Math. Ann. 160 (1965), no. 2, 93–130.

[N2] G.Neubauer, Über den Index abgeschlossener Operatoren in Banachraümen, II, Math. Ann. 162 (1965),no. 1, 92–119.

[New1] J.D.Newburgh, A topology for closed operators, Ann. Math., 53 (1951), 249–255.

[New2] J.D.Newburgh, The variation of spectra, Duke Math. J. 18 (1951), 165–176.

[O1] M.I.Ostrovskii, On the properties of the opening and related closeness characterizations of Banach spaces (in Russian), Teor. Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., 42 (1984), 97–107: Enslish translation: Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2), v. 136 (1987), 109–119.

[O2] M.I.Ostrovskii, The relation of the gaps of subspaces to dimension and other

isomorphic invariants, Akad. Nauk Armyan. SSR Dokl. 79 (1984), no. 2, 51–53 (in Russian).

[O3] M.I.Ostrovskii, Banach-Saks properties, injectivity and gaps between subspaces of a Banach space (in Russian) Teor. Funktsii, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., 44 (1985), 69–78; English translation: J. Soviet Math., 48 (1990), no. 3, 299–306.

[O4] M.I.Ostrovskii, Closeness characterizations of Banach spaces generated by the notion of the opening between subspaces, Dissertation, Kharkov, 1985 (in Russian).

[O5] M.I.Ostrovskii, Separably injective Banach spaces (in Russian), Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 20 (1986), no. 2, 80–81; English translation.: Functional Anal. Appl. 20 (1986), no. 2, 154–155;

[O6] M.I.Ostrovskii, Properties of Banach spaces stable and unstable with respect to the gap (in Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. 28 (1987), no. 1, 182–184; English translation: Siberian Math. J., 28 (1987), no. 1, 140–142.

[O7] M.I.Ostrovskii, Comparison of topologies generated by geometric and operator gaps between subspaces (in Russian), Ukr. Mat. Zh. 41 (1989), no. 7, 929–933; English translation: Ukr. Math. J. 41 (1989), no. 7, 794–797.

[O8] M.I.Ostrovskii, The three space problem for the weak Banach - Saks property (in Russian) Mat. Zametki 38 (1985), no. 5, 721–725; English translation: Math. Notes 38 (1985), no. 5–6, 905–907;

[O9] M.I.Ostrovskii, Classes of Banach spaces stable and unstable with respect to the opening, preprint.

[OP] M.I.Ostrovskii and A.N.Plichko, Banach-Saks properties and the three space problem, in: "Operators in Function Spaces and Problems in Function Theory", ed. by V.A.Marchenko, Kiev, Naukova Dumka, 1987, p. 96–105 (in Russian).

[Par] K.R.Partasarathy, Lectures on functional analysis. Part II. Perturbations by unbounded operators, ISI Lecture Notes 6, Macmillan Co of India Ltd, New Delhi, 1979.

[Pel1] A.Pelczynski, Projections in certain Banach spaces, Studia Math., 19 (1960), 209–228.

[Pel2] A.Pelczynski, Linear extensions, linear averagings, and their applications to linear topological classification of spaces of continuous functions, Warszawa, Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, 1968.

[Pel3] A.Pelczynski, All separable Banach spaces admit for every $\varepsilon > 0$ fundamental total and bounded by $1 + \varepsilon$ biorthogonal sequences, Studia. Math. 55 (1976), 295–304.

[Pis1] G.Pisier, Factorization of Linear Operators and Geometry of Banach Spaces, Providence, AMS, 1986.

[Pis2] G.Pisier, Quotients of Banach spaces of cotype q, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 85 (1982), no. 1, 32–36.

[PX] G.Pisier and Q.Xu, Random series in real interpolation spaces between the spaces v_p , Lect. Notes Math. 1267 (1987), 185–209.

[Pl] A.Plichko, On bounded biorthogonal systems in some function spaces, Studia Math. 84 (1986), 25–37.

[PR] H.Porta and L.Recht, Continuous selections of complemented subspaces. Contemporary Math. 52 (1986), 121–125

[Rak] S.A.Rakov, Ultraproducts and the "three space problem", Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen., 11 (1977), no. 3, 88–89 (in Russian).

[RS] M.Reed and B.Simon, Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, 1, Functional Analysis, New York and London, Academic Press, 1972.

[Rod] L.Rodman, On global geometric properties of subspaces in Hilbert space, J. Funct. Anal. 45 (1982), 226–235.

[Ros] H.P.Rosenthal, On the subspaces of $L^p(p > 2)$ spanned by sequences of independent random variables, Israel J. Math., 8 (1970), no. 3, 273–303.

[Sc] J.J.Schäffer, Girth, super-reflexivity, and isomorphic classification of normed spaces and subspaces, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Math., 28 (1980), no. 11–12, 573–584.

[ScS] J.J.Schäffer and K.Sundaresan, Reflexivity and the girth of spheres, Math. Ann., 184 (1970), 163–168.

[S1] I.Singer, Bases in Banach spaces, I, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[S2] I.Singer, Bases in Banach spaces, II, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1981.

[S3] I.Singer, Best approximation in normed linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

[Sl] Z.Slodkowski, Operators with closed ranges in spaces of analytic vector-valued functions, J. Funct. Anal. 69 (1986), 155–177.

[Sob] P.E.Sobolevskii, On equations with operators forming an acute angle, Dokl. AN SSSR, 116 (1957), no. 5, 754–757 (in Russian).

[Sz] S.J.Szarek, On the geometry of the Banach-Mazur compactum, Lect. Notes Math., 1470 (1991), 48–59.

[SzT] S.J.Szarek and M.Talagrand, An "isomorphic" version of the Sauer-Shelah lemma and the Banach-Mazur distance to the cube, Lect. Notes Math., 1376 (1989), 105–112.

[Sz1] B. Sz.-Nagy, Perturbations des transformations autoadjointes dans l'espace de Hilbert, Comment. Math. Helv., 19 (1947), 347–366.

[Sz2] B. Sz.-Nagy, Perturbations des transformations lineaires fermees, Acta Sci. Math., 14 (1951), no. 2, 125–137.

[Te] P.Terenzi, On the theory of fundamental norming bounded biorthogonal systems in Banach spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 299 (1987), 497–511.

[T] V.M.Tikhomirov, Widths of sets in function spaces and the theory of best approximations, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, 15 (1960), no. 3, 81–120, English translation: Russian Math. Surveys, 15 (1960), no. 3, 75–111.

[V] M.Valdivia, Banach spaces X with X^{**} separable, Israel J. Math. 59 (1987), no. 1, 107–111.

[Va1] F.-H.Vasilescu, Stability of the index of a complex of Banach spaces, J. Operator Theory, 2 (1979), no. 2, 247–275.

[Va2] F.-H.Vasilescu, Nonlinear objects in the linear analysis, Spectral Theory of Linear Operators and Related Topics (Timisoara/ Herculane, 1983), 265–278, Operator Theory, Adv. Appl., 14, Birkhauser, Basel-Boston, Mass, 1984.

[Va3] F. –H. Vasilescu, Homogeneous operators and essential complexes, Glasgow Math. J. 31 (1989), no. 1, 73–85.

[WW] J.R.Wells and L.R.Williams, Embeddings and Extensions in Analysis, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1975.